

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_121408
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF TOURISM IN PROMOTING INCLUSIVE GROWTH IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Contribute to literature of tourism and growth nexus	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	editing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results are insignificant, considering the important role of tourism on global south countries the analysis may need to be modified. Or even consider using logs or ratios.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	add	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>For result to have economic meaning observations must be 30 or more, so I propose you increase your study period dimension for example 1995-2024 or 1993-2022 or any. That may explain why all your findings are insignificant. I propose carry out new analysis</p> <p>Discuss tourism led growth hypothesis (TLGH)</p> <p>On methodology mention advantage of bounds cointegration test</p> <p>Ect=0.441 for cointegration is significant implying long run convergence is present – check your interpretation.0</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion and recommendations are too short.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naftaly Mose
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya