

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_120791
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC APPROACH TO INLAND WATER FISHERIES MANAGEMENT BASED ON THE DRAFT FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OF THE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT AREA OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA IN INLAND WATERS (RPP WPPNRI-PD)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of sustainable fisheries management in Indonesia. By analyzing the challenges and management strategies, the manuscript provides valuable insights and practical solutions. The use of innovative approaches like SWOT and Fishbone analysis, demonstrates a holistic and adaptive approach to fisheries management. Overall, I appreciate the manuscript for its thorough analysis, clear presentation of strategies, and potential to contribute to the socio-economic well-being of coastal communities.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title is quite long and complex, which might make it difficult for readers to understand the main focus of the article. Simplifying and clarifying the title can make it more effective. Here's a suggested alternative:</p> <p>Alternative Title</p> <p>"Strategic Approaches to Sustainable Inland Water Fisheries Management in Indonesia: Insights from the Draft WPPNRI-PD Fisheries Management Plan"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, covering key aspects of Indonesia's inland water fisheries, the challenges faced, and the proposed management strategies. However, some areas could benefit from additional clarity or conciseness. Here are some suggestions for improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity on Challenges: The abstract mentions challenges but could be more specific about the impact of these challenges on fisheries production. 2. Structure and Flow: The abstract could be slightly restructured for better flow and readability. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Overall subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor evident in its comprehensive data, methodological approach, and analytical depth. The experimental design is robust, addressing potential confounding variables effectively. Clear adherence to established scientific principles and methodologies ensures the reliability and validity of the findings.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Author provided updated and recent references anyhow the total number (10) is not enough</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Use common words that are easy to understand. Shorten sentences to enhance readability. Remove unnecessary adjectives.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	English grammar is excellent but there are some laps in readability like lengthy sentences and complex words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihtshm Liaqat
Department, University & Country	University of Gujrat, Pakistan