

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121193</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of pre-harvest nutrient application and bagging with different colours of polythene on quality of rainy season guava (<i>Psidium guajava</i> Linn.) cv. Allahabad safeda.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	this research is important for the scientific research in order to keep fruits in good shape	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract lacks a lot of information, including at what stage of fruit growth the experiment was carried out, the size of the bags, how long was the bagging period, and when were the nutrients sprayed?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs some minor modifications	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good from a scientific standpoint because it addresses the problem of spoilage of guava fruits, and this problem has an economic impact, but there are some notes that the researcher must take into account in order to benefit from the manuscript and be clear to the reader.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are some references mentioned in the list that are not mentioned in the text, and they have been marked	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	The article is generally good, but the materials and methods are very poor in information. Notes have been added in the comments to the copy of the article that will be attached to this form.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shaymaa Mohammed Jubeir
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq