

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_120721</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INDICATIONS FOR CERVICAL CERCLAGE IN PREGNANT WOMEN: OBSTETRIC AND PERINATAL OUTCOMES IN A TERTIARY PUBLIC HOSPITAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a beautifully written and excellent article regarding a problem, which is most common especially in low income countries. Preterm labor and thereby leading to preterm delivery of a LBW ( low birth weight) infant puts tremendous financial burden on parents with suboptimal long term outcome in most cases. Cervical circlage is one of the most effective tools available with gynaecologists to prevent this.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Cervical encirclage : A boon or a overhyped procedure.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Comparisons of cervical stitch with expectant management could have been done</li> <li>2. comparison of cervical stitch with vaginal progesterone , studies could have been added.</li> <li>3. Different types of cervical stitch : Vaginal ( Mcdonald), Shirodkar, Tarns- Abdominal / Laparoscopic , with specific indications of each can be added.</li> <li>4. Any role of cervical stitch in case of a previously failed (multiple times ) cervical stitch can also be enumerated.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Many senior doctors do take cervical stitch just by doing per vaginal examination. It has to be emphasised to be a strict in following criteria of TVS cervical length of 2.5 cm for taking stitch.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajat N Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>L N C T Medical College and Sevakunj Hospital, India</b>