

Impact of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL.

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the “impact of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Soil properties, and Yield of Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL” at Research farm of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute, during *kharif* season of 2023-24. The experiment with 18 treatments, was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The pre and post-harvest soil samples taken for analysis revealed sandy loam in texture. and treatment T₁₈ - [N₂₀P₄₀K₄₀+ FYM@ 5 t ha⁻¹+Rhizobium@ 600 g ha⁻¹] showed a slight decrease in soil pH (7.18), D_b (1.21 Mg.m⁻¹) and D_p (2.20 Mg.m⁻¹) along with increase in EC, pore space, water holding capacity and organic carbon *i.e.*, 0.29 dSm⁻¹, 46.88%, 44.90%, 0.55% respectively. The maximum available nitrogen 292 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus 31.18kg ha⁻¹ and potassium 264 kg ha⁻¹ were recorded in T₁₈. made it best treatment among soil health parameters.

Keywords: Cluster bean, Soil health, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, FYM, Rhizobium, etc.

INTRODUCTION:

Soil fertility/status determines the foundation or strength of the soil in providing support for plants, environment and future stability of ecosystems (**Havlin *et al.*, 2017**). Its physico-chemical properties include pH, nutrient availability, organic matter, microbial activity and the state of life in the options of fauna (**Doran and Zeiss, 2000**). Some of the management practices concerning soil are water holding capacity, water drainage, aeration, and prevention of soil erosion. The conservation practices include organic amendments, cover crops, reduced tillage, crop rotation and top soil health (**Snyder and Bruulsema, 2007**).

Cluster bean, also known as guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*), is a leguminous crop that can improve soil health by fixing atmospheric nitrogen through its symbiotic relationship with Rhizobium bacteria in its root nodules. This nitrogen fixation enriches the soil, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers and enhancing fertility. Cluster bean's presence in crop rotation systems improves soil structure and organic matter content, forming soil aggregates for better aeration and water infiltration (**Kumar and Singh, 2014**). The plant's biomass, when left as crop residue, adds organic matter to the soil, boosting nutrient content and supporting beneficial microbial activity. Cluster bean also helps control soil erosion due to its ground cover, reducing water runoff and wind impact. (**Rao and Nair, 2011**). Cluster beans improve soil structure and fertility by adding organic matter and enhancing microbial activity. FYM enriches soil with essential nutrients, improving water-holding capacity, especially in arid regions. Rhizobium inoculation enhances nitrogen fixation, promotes root growth, and yields. Integrating these practices can lead to better crop performance, reduced dependency on chemical fertilizers, and environmentally friendly farming methods. (**Singh and Kumar,**

2015).

Rhizobium is a genus of Gram-negative soil bacteria that fix nitrogen. Rhizobium species form an endosymbiotic nitrogen-fixing association with roots of legumes and other flowering plants. The bacteria colonize plant cells within root nodules, where they convert atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia using the enzyme nitrogenase and then provide organic nitrogenous compound such as glutamine or ureides to the plant. (Oldroyd and Dixon, 2014).

NPK fertilizers are inorganic fertilizers used for increasing crop production and fertility of the soil; However, their consequences on soil over the years could be complicated. In addition, NPK fertilizers can disturb the nutrient balance, cause soil acidification and decrease of microbial activity (Reddy and DeLaune, 2008). Indiscriminate use of fertilizers results in the disruption of the soil structure, the reduction of organic matter and the pollution of water sources which leads to the appearance of eutrophication and problems with the aquatic habitats. They also react certain substances with organisms to change their environmental levels and particularly affecting nitrogen and phosphorus levels, with this change can lead to a decrease in beneficial microbes. In this matter, the frequent use of inorganic fertilizers leads to dependency and influences the supply of organic matter and the natural nutrient cycling. Soil conservation practices are crucial and form the basis of long run management of the soil. (Giller *et al.*, 2004)

Farmyard manure (FYM) is one kind of natural organic manure applied for enhancing the fertility of soil as well as agricultural production. This improves the physical properties of the soil by raising the organic matter content & slow-release mechanism hence improves aeration, water intake, and root penetration. (Sharma and Singh, 2011). The chemical composition of FYM is N (0.5%), P (0.2%) and K (0.5%). It enhances water uptake because the water dries up in dry periods and the frequency of watering is decreased. FYM enhances the microbial population in the soil helping in checking soil erosion and equally improves the pH of the soil. (El-Morsy and Ali, 2012).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Location of Experimental site

The experiment was conducted at research farm of Soil Science, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The area is situated on the south of Prayagraj, on the right side of the river Yamuna on the south of Rewa road at a distance of about 6 km from Prayagraj city. It is situated at 25°57'69" N latitude, 81°59'74" E longitude and at the altitude of 98 meter above the sea level. The experiment containing 18 treatments, was laid out in randomized block design with three replications during *khariif*, 2023-2024 for Three factors being inorganic NPK (0%, 50% and 100%), FYM (0%, 50% and 100%) and Rhizobium (0% and 100%). The soil samples were randomly collected from one site in the experiment plot prior to tillage operation from the depth of 0-15 to 15-30 cm. The volume of the soil sample then reduced by coning and quartering the composites soil samples. Following this, they underwent air drying and were sieved through a 2 mm mesh, preparing them for both physico-chemical analysis. Soil physical analysis was conducted after post-harvest operations. After harvest, soil samples were collected from field.

Table 1. Methodology employed for analysis

Particulars	Method employed
Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	Graduated Measuring Cylinder (Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992)
Partical density (Mg m^{-3})	Graduated Measuring Cylinder (Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992)
Pore space (%)	Graduated Measuring Cylinder (Muthuaval <i>et al.</i> , 1992)
Soil pH (1:2)	pH meter (Glass electrode) (Jackson, 1958)
Soil EC (dS m^{-1})	EC meter (Conductivity Bridge) (Wilcox, 1950)
Organic carbon (%)	Wet Oxidation Method (Walkley and Black, 1947)
Available nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	Kjeldhal Method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
Available phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	Colorimetric method (Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 1954)
Available potassium (kg ha^{-1})	Flame photometric method (Toth and Prince, 1949)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Soil physical properties

The maximum bulk density was recorded (1.38 Mg m^{-3}) in 0-15 cm depth and (1.46 Mg m^{-3}) in 15-30 cm depth under treatment T₁ (0% NPK + 0% FYM + 0% Rhizobium). The maximum particle density was recorded (2.33 Mg m^{-3}) in 0-15 cm depth and (2.47 Mg m^{-3}) in 15-30 cm depth under treatment T₁ (0% NPK + 0% FYM + 0% Rhizobium). Data revealed that application of treatment T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium) recorded the highest porosity (46.8%) in 0-15 cm depth among all the treatments, which was the next superior and equally effective treatment in respect to porosity in soil after crop harvesting. Application of T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium) recorded the highest porosity (44.37 %) in 15-30 cm depth in soil, which was significantly superior to other treatments. Increased organic matter can improve soil structure and increase soil pore space the retention of dissolved organic matter leading to change in physical properties of soil. The maximum water holding capacity was recorded (44.9%) in 0-15 cm depth and (43.46 %) in 15-30 cm depth in treatment T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium).

Soil chemical properties

The maximum EC was recorded (0.29 dS m^{-1}) in 0-15 cm and (0.26 dS m^{-1}) in 15-30 cm depth in treatment of T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium). That the application of T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium) recorded the highest organic carbon is (0.55 %) in 0-15 cm soil and (0.53%) in 15-30 cm soil, which was significantly superior to other treatments. The maximum nitrogen was recorded (292 kg ha^{-1}) in 0-15 cm depth and (277 kg ha^{-1}) in 15-30cm depth under treatment T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium) Significant buildup of the soil available N could be attributed to increased activity of nitrogen fixing rhizobia thereby resulting in higher accumulation of N in the soil leading to better nodulation and mineralization of organic N with phosphorus application.

The maximum phosphorus was recorded (31.18 kg ha^{-1}) in 0-15 cm depth and (27.74 kg ha^{-1}) in 15-30 cm depth under treatment T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium). The increase in available P content of soil might be due to greater mobilization of native soil P by

vigorous root proliferation and contribution through biomass.

The maximum potassium was recorded (264 kg ha⁻¹) in 0-15 cm depth and (196 kg ha⁻¹) in 15-30 cm depth under treatment T₁₈ (100% NPK + 100% FYM + 100% Rhizobium).

TABLE-2- Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on bulk density, particle density, pore space and water holding capacity of soil after crop harvest

Treatment	Bulk density		Particle density		Pore space		Water holding capacity %	
	Mg m ⁻³		Mg m ⁻³		%		%	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
T ₁	1.38	1.46	2.33	2.47	42.51	41.72	42.52	40.08
T ₂	1.29	1.39	2.29	2.39	42.92	41.95	42.87	41.70
T ₃	1.27	1.37	2.25	2.33	43.88	42.11	43.14	42.17
T ₄	1.25	1.36	2.26	2.36	44.82	42.62	43.69	42.41
T ₅	1.24	1.35	2.24	2.30	45.27	43.03	44.19	42.82
T ₆	1.23	1.30	2.23	2.28	45.86	43.56	44.58	42.90
T ₇	1.29	1.40	2.32	2.38	42.71	41.08	42.72	41.06
T ₈	1.28	1.37	2.30	2.39	42.87	41.36	42.89	41.35
T ₉	1.25	1.36	2.28	2.35	44.51	42.77	43.80	42.62
T ₁₀	1.24	1.32	2.27	2.34	44.93	42.92	43.95	42.78
T ₁₁	1.23	1.31	2.24	2.31	45.05	43.95	44.27	43.19
T ₁₂	1.22	1.32	2.22	2.27	45.62	44.92	44.64	43.37
T ₁₃	1.32	1.38	2.31	2.41	43.10	42.11	42.97	41.43
T ₁₄	1.30	1.36	2.29	2.37	43.23	42.63	43.09	41.62
T ₁₅	1.26	1.32	2.28	2.35	45.08	43.08	43.48	42.25
T ₁₆	1.25	1.30	2.25	2.33	45.87	43.36	43.76	42.66
T ₁₇	1.23	1.31	2.23	2.30	46.17	44.06	44.36	43.32
T ₁₈	1.21	1.29	2.20	2.27	46.88	44.37	44.90	43.46
F-Test	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S
S. Em. (±)	-	-	-	-	1.17	1.95	0.49	0.38
C.D. at 5%	-	-	-	-	0.40	0.65	1.73	1.10




Fig-1. Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on bulk density, particle density of soil after crop harvest




Fig-2. Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on pore space and water holding capacity of soil after crop harvest

TABLE-3. Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on pH, electrical conductivity, organic compound of soil after crop harvest

Treatment	pH		Electrical Conductivity		Organic Carbon	
	(1:2) w/v		(dS m ⁻¹)		(%)	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm

T₁	7.50	7.47	0.23	0.20	0.48	0.32
T₂	7.39	7.43	0.24	0.22	0.48	0.39
T₃	7.32	7.38	0.25	0.23	0.51	0.43
T₄	7.30	7.35	0.26	0.24	0.51	0.45
T₅	7.28	7.32	0.26	0.25	0.53	0.47
T₆	7.26	7.29	0.27	0.26	0.54	0.51
T₇	7.41	7.45	0.24	0.22	0.49	0.44
T₈	7.37	7.42	0.24	0.23	0.49	0.45
T₉	7.29	7.32	0.25	0.24	0.52	0.48
T₁₀	7.27	7.30	0.25	0.23	0.52	0.49
T₁₁	7.26	7.28	0.26	0.24	0.53	0.50
T₁₂	7.24	7.26	0.27	0.25	0.54	0.52
T₁₃	7.35	7.39	0.24	0.22	0.50	0.45
T₁₄	7.33	7.36	0.24	0.23	0.50	0.46
T₁₅	7.25	7.27	0.26	0.24	0.53	0.51
T₁₆	7.23	7.26	0.27	0.24	0.53	0.51
T₁₇	7.21	7.24	0.28	0.25	0.54	0.52
T₁₈	7.18	7.20	0.29	0.26	0.55	0.53
F-Test	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S
S. Em. (±)	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.03
C.D.at 5%	-	-	-	-	0.02	0.07




Fig-3. Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on pH, electrical conductivity, organic compound of soil after crop harvest.

TABLE- 4 Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium content of soil after crop harvest.

Treatment	Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)		Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)		Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
	T ₁	179	147	18.49	15.63	206
T ₂	191	152	19.5	16.05	208	176
T ₃	192	154	20.78	17.66	210	177
T ₄	195	156	21.88	18.73	213	178
T ₅	220	180	22.37	19.81	216	179
T ₆	241	183	22.98	20.83	219	180
T ₇	245	185	24.95	21.73	226	182

T₈	247	187	25.32	22.51	228	183
T₉	250	191	25.85	22.97	230	185
T₁₀	252	193	26.22	23.53	233	186
T₁₁	255	195	26.97	24.02	236	188
T₁₂	260	210	27.12	24.32	240	189
T₁₃	266	216	28.28	24.63	246	191
T₁₄	270	227	28.83	25.61	250	192
T₁₅	275	243	29.08	25.93	253	193
T₁₆	278	248	29.66	26.23	256	194
T₁₇	286	256	30.77	26.71	259	195
T₁₈	292	277	31.18	27.74	264	196
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
S. Em. (±)	5.43	5.04	0.62	0.44	4.44	2.8
C.D. at 5%	16.29	15.11	1.84	1.32	13.32	8.4




Fig-4. Effect of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil for Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Cv. Radha SPL on Nitrogen, Phosphorous

and Potassium content of soil after crop harvest.

CONCLUSION:

The experiment concluded that the treatment combination T₁₈ showed the best results on soil physico-chemical properties for cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) in comparison to other treatment combinations. The results showed that the treatment combination T₁₈ improved the soil by decreasing bulk density and increasing water holding capacity, pore space, organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content. Therefore, it is suggested that T₁₈ was most suitable to improve soil health and obtain higher yield of cluster bean.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

REFERENCES:

- Abdel-Aziz, H. M., Hasaneen, M. N., Omer, A. M. Nano chitosan-NPK fertilizer enhances the growth and productivity of wheat plants grown in sandy soil. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016; 14(1): 902.
- Agarkar, D.V., Ingle, S.R.T., Khambalkar, H. Characterization of some cotton growing soils of Wardha district of Vidharbha region (M.S., India). Journal of Soils and Crops. 2012; 22(1):159-167.
- Awad, N., Gagnon, M, Messier, C. The relationship between impaired glucose tolerance, type2 diabetes, and cognitive function. Journal of clinical and experimental neuropsychology. 2004; 26(8): 1044-1080.
- Azadi, E., Rafiee, M. Hadis, N. the effect of different nitrogen levels on seed yield and morphological characteristic of mung bean in the climate condition of Khorramabad. Annals of Biological Research. 2013; 4(2): 51-55.
- Babaji, Bashir A., Yahaya, Mahadi, M.A., Jaliya, M.M., Ajeigbe, H.A., Sharifai, A.I., Kura, H.N., Arunah, O.L. Ibrahim, A. Response of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* L] to residual effect of different application rates of sheep manure on chilli pepper (*Capsicum annum*). Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment. 2011; 8 (2): 339 – 343.
- Bhattacharya, C. B., Sen, S. Koscho, D. Using corporate social responsibility to win the war for talent. MIT Sloan management review. 2008; 49(2).
- Black, C.A. Methods of soil analysis 2, Am. Sock, Agron.madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 1965;
- Bouyoucos, G. J. The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. Soil

Sci. 1927; 2(3): 3

- Brown, S. Cotton, M. Changes in soil properties and carbon content following compost application. *Compost Science and Utilization*. 2011; 19 (1): 88-97.
- Butt, M. S., Shahzadi, N., Kamran, M., Sharif Nasir, M. Guar Gum: A Miracle Therapy for Hypercholesterolemia, Hyperglycemia and Obesity, *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 2007; 47(4): 389-396.
- Chavan, B. L., Vedpathak, M. M. Pirgonde, B. R. Effects of organic and chemical fertilizers on cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonolobus*). *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2015; 5(1): 34-38.
- Doran, J. W., & Zeiss, M. R. Soil health and sustainability: Managing the soil as a system. In R. J. Haynes (Ed.), *Soil science: Methods and applications*. 2000; (pp. 58-75).
- El-Morsy, S. Ali, F. Effects of Organic Amendments on Soil Properties and Plant Growth: A Focus on Farmyard Manure. *Journal of Soil Science*. 2012; 42(4): 271-280.
- Giller, K. E., Andresen, L. Arnaud, E. The Role of Fertilizers in Soil Health and Crop Productivity. *Field Crops Research*. 2004; 84(1): 57-72.
- Havlin, J. L., Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L., Beaton, J. D. *Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management* (8th ed.). Pearson.2017;
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1958:498.
- Kumar, SD., Hasan Amreen, Thomas Tarence, David Arun Alfred, Choudhary Anil Sharma, **And Implication** of integrated nutrient management on soil properties of cluster bean. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022; 11(6): 151-154.
- Kumar, V. Singh, M. Impact of Guar (Cluster Bean) Cultivation on Soil Organic Carbon and Structure. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 2014; 144: 204-213.
- Muthuaval P, Udayasoorian C, Natesan R, Ramaswami PR. Introduction to soil analysis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; 1992.
- Oldroyd, G. E. D. Dixon, R. Biology of Plant–Microbe Interactions: The Role of Rhizobium in Nitrogen Fixation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. 2014; 20: 37-43.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular 939. US Government Printing Office, Washington DC; 1954.
- Rao, M. R. Nair, V. D. Impact of Cluster Bean on Soil Erosion Control and Water Retention. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 2011; 115(1): 56-63.
- Reddy, K. R. DeLaune, R. D. Soil and Water Quality Management in Agroecosystems:

- Effects of Fertilizer Use. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2008; 100(1): 121-161.
- Selim Mostafa Mohamed. Introduction to the Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies, and Contribution on Yield and Soil Properties. *Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2021; 9 (4): 139-150.
- Sharma, S. Singh, K. P. Farmyard Manure and Its Role in Soil Health Management. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 2011; 35(4): 448-462.
- Sharma, S.K. Jain, N.K. Effect of balanced fertilization on productivity and soil fertility status of Clusterbean. *Legume Research*, 2012; 35 (1): 32-35.
- Singh, B. K. Kumar, S. Effects of Organic Amendments and Rhizobium Inoculation on Soil Properties and Crop Performance. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*. 2015; 17(4): 1157-1170.
- Snyder, C. S., Bruulsema, T. W. Nutrient management for vegetable crops in the Midwest (2nd ed.). *Midwest Vegetable Production Guide for Commercial Growers*. 2007.
- Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956; 25: 259-260.
- Takas e, M., Sam-Amoah, Owusu, L. K., Sekyere, J. D. the effects of four sources of irrigation water on soil chemical and physical properties. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2011; 10: 1, pp 92-96.18.
- Tiwari Abhishek, Tiwari Ankit, Singh N.B. Kumar Arvind. Effect of Integrated nutrient management (INM) on soil properties, yield and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Res. Environ. Life Sci*. 2017; 10(7): 640-644.
- Toth SJ, Prince AL. Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable calcium, potassium, and sodium contents of soils by flame photometer techniques. *Soil Sci*. 1949; 67: 439-445.
- Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934; 37:29
- Wilcox RR. STDs: Definition. *A Textbook of STDs*, 1950; 11.
- Yadav Manish Kumar, Thomas Tarence Rao P. Smriti. Response of NPK and Rhizobium on Soil Properties, Growth and Yield of Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) Var-Laxmi-50. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2019; 8(5):1752-1758.
- Yadav, B.K. Tarafdar, J.C. *Penicillium purpurogenum*, unique P mobilizers in arid agroecosystems. *Arid Land Research and Management*. 2011; 25: 87-99.

Zhang W., Weindorf D.C., Zhu Y., Haggard B.J., Bakr N. Soil series and land use impacts on major soil properties: a quantitative comparison. *Soil Research*. 2012; 50: 390–396.

Zulfiqar, F., Navarro, M., Ashraf, M., Akram, N. A. and Munné-Bosch, S. Nano fertilizer use for sustainable agriculture: Advantages and limitations. *Plant Science*, 2019; 289: 110-270

UNDER PEER REVIEW