

Comparative Assessment of Water Productivity and Crop Performance of Cluster Bean, Cowpea and Pearl Millet in Arid Zones"

Abstract

Arid and semi-arid regions face mounting challenges due to water scarcity exacerbated by climate change, necessitating sustainable agricultural practices to ensure food security and economic stability. This study compares the water productivity and crop performance of Cluster Bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.), Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) and Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) under sprinkle irrigation in the Thar Desert, India. The experiment was conducted over two years (2022 and 2023) at . Data onevaluated plant height, leaf number, branch development, fresh and dry biomass yields and water productivity were evaluated. Pearl Millet exhibited the tallest plants (160.03 cm) and highest water productivity (1.57 kg/m³), emphasizing its drought resilience and efficient water use. Cowpea demonstrated substantial biomass production (average fresh weight of 16,348.75 kg/hac.) and moderate water productivity (1.16 kg/m³), suitable for forage and soil improvement. Cluster Bean, although less productive in biomass, showed adaptability with increased branching (from 4.65 to 7.35 branches/tiller/plant), indicative of its resilience in arid conditions. These findings underscore the importance of crop selection and management practices in maximizing agricultural productivity and resource use efficiency in water-limited environments.

Key Words: - Cluster Bean, Cowpea, Pearl Millet, Water productivity, Crop performance, Arid regions, Drought tolerance, Sustainable agriculture and Biomass yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Arid and semi-arid regions face escalating challenges in agricultural sustainability due to increasing water scarcity exacerbated by climate change. Efficient water management and enhancing crop productivity per unit of water used (water productivity) are imperative to ensure

Commented [PL1]: Mention experimental site

food security and economic stability in this adverse climatic condition. Cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.), Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) are staple crops grown in arid regions contributing significantly to local food security, and rural livelihoods depends on Agri- based livestock production system. Understanding of overall water productivity of fodder crop is crucial for calculating the virtual water requirement of livestock production as fodder contribute significant part of this requirement.

Cluster bean, Cowpea and pearl millet are selected for this study due to their importance as drought-tolerant crops and their adaptability to arid conditions. These crops exhibit varying degrees of water requirement and drought tolerance mechanisms, influencing their productivity under limited water availability. Pearl millet, characterized by its deep root system and C4 photosynthetic pathway, is known for its resilience to drought stress and efficient use of water. In contrast, cowpea and cluster bean, while also possessing drought tolerance traits, may exhibit higher sensitivity to water deficits, impacting their growth, yield and overall water productivity.

~~The research seeks to identify optimal water requirement strategies and agronomic practices that enhance crop yield under varying water availability. Such insights are essential for sustainable agricultural development in arid regions.~~ The study aims to assess the comparative water productivity of cowpea, pearl millet and cluster bean under sprinkle irrigation regimes in arid environments. ~~By evaluating crop yield responses to water use, the research seeks to identify optimal water requirement strategies and agronomic practices that enhance and maintain crop yield under varying water availability scenarios. Such insights are essential for sustainable agricultural development in arid regions, where maximizing crop productivity with limited water resources is critical for food security and livelihood improvement.~~

2. Materials and Methods

Experimental Location ~~(Climatic and Soil Conditions)~~

The study was conducted at ICAR – CSWRI – Arid Region Campus, Bikaner (28° 18' 0" N latitude, 73° 30' 0" E longitude and 236 meters above sea level) in the heart of the Thar Desert. The experimental period covered two planting cycles: July to October 2022 and 2023. The arid climate is characterized by hot, dry conditions with irregular rainfall (annual range: 260 to 440

Commented [PL2]: Reference?

Commented [PL3]: Ref?

Commented [PL4]: Subscript

Commented [PL5]: Write full name before abbreviate

Commented [PL6]: Use degree sign

Commented [PL7]: As above

mm). Mean max. and min. temperature ranges between 22.7 to 36.57°C. The soil type is characterized as sandy loam. Detailed climatic variables during the growing periods of 2022 and 2023 are summarized in Table 1.

Crop Species and Planting Details

Three fodder crops were studied: cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.*) variety of RGC-936, cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata L.*) variety of Kohinoor and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum L.*) variety of Raj Bajra-1. Sowing was conducted on July 5, 2022 and 2023, with seeding rates of 40 kg/ha for cluster bean, 30-40 kg/ha for cowpea and 10 kg/ha for pearl millet.

Commented [PL8]: Where did you obtained the seeds? Were the seeds treated? Give detail of Land preparations

Commented [PL9]: Are these seeds readily available or purchased from authorized seed vendors? Indicate where you obtain your seeds

Fertilizers

At sowing, fertilizers were applied per plot: for cowpea and cluster bean, 0.31 kg urea and 1.8 kg single super phosphate (SSP) were used, while for pearl millet, 0.940 kg urea, 1.80 kg SSP and 0.480 kg Muriate of Potash (MOP) were applied per plot. Fertilizers were incorporated into the soil as basal dressing, with split doses of urea applied later in two stages. RDF dose required for Cluster bean, cow pea are 20:40 (N: P₂O₅) and for Pearl millet are 60:40:40 (N:P: K).

Experimental Procedures

1. **Crop Management:** Standard agronomic practices were followed, including weeding, pest control and irrigation scheduling based on crop water requirements.

Commented [PL10]: Provide details of crop management including weeding practice and period, name of pesticide, and irrigation practice

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

2. **Data Collection:** At harvest, biomass from each plot was collected and oven-dried at 100°C to determine dry weight using an electronic balance.

Commented [PL11]: What is the duration of study at which data was collected?
2. Plot size? Replication?
3. How many hours use for drying the samples?

Water Productivity Calculation

Water productivity was assessed as the ratio of crop yield to the total amount of water supplied (irrigation plus rainfall).

Commented [PL12]: Write the formula

Experimental Layout and Treatments

The experimental area was divided into plots using a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three treatments and four replications. Each plot measured 4 x 10 meters, totaling 40 square meters per plot. Planting distances were maintained at 45 x 15 cm for cowpea, 45 x 10 cm for pearl millet and 45 x 15-20 cm for cluster bean to optimize plant growth and spacing.

Irrigation and Water Management

Irrigation scheduling was based on crop water requirements, which were determined using a reference crop evapotranspiration (ET_o) approach. The total number of irrigations applied was five. Irrigation was carried out using sprinkler systems to ensure uniform water distribution across the plots. The total water used, including both irrigation and rainfall, was 3,655 m³ in 2022 and 3,478 m³ in 2023, reflecting the varying climatic conditions and crop water demands during the experimental periods.

Commented [PL13]: This should come under crop management

Commented [PL14]: Reference?

Harvesting and Biomass Measurement

At maturity, ~~crops biomass from each plot were~~ harvested, ~~separately by crop species~~ and oven-dried at 100°C to a constant weight. ~~Fresh and dry weights were weighed~~ Biomass yield was measured using an electronic balance, with fresh weight recorded before drying. ~~This process ensured accurate assessment of crop productivity under different treatments and environmental conditions.~~

Commented [PL15]: Did you wash the plants prior to drying?

Commented [PL16]: This should come under data collection

Water Productivity Calculation

Water productivity was calculated as the ratio of biomass yield (kg/ha) to the total amount of water applied (m³/ha), providing a quantitative measure of crop performance under irrigation regimes and arid climatic conditions.

Commented [PL17]: Redundant. Delete

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using ~~Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)~~ appropriate methods to compare treatment effects on crop biomass and water productivity. ~~Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted to assess the significance of treatment differences.~~ Data were

Commented [PL18]: One or two ways ANOVA?

analyzed using statistical software to generate meaningful insights ~~and conclusions from the experimental results.~~

Commented [PL19]: Mention the followings;
 1. Software name and version?
 2. At what degree of freedom (at what probability)?

Table: -1. Meteorological Climatic data of the experimental area during study in growing period inof 2022 and 2023 (Summer season).

Years	Months	Mean Max. temp.	Mean Min. Temp	Mean RH (%)		Mean Wind velocity (km/hr)	Mean sunshine (HRS)	Total Rain (mm)	Total evaporation (mm)
				I	II				
2022	July	35.3	25.5	86.1	64.4	6.2	6.7	244.9	7.7
	Aug.	35.1	24.6	84.0	58.8	6.7	7.1	33.2	8.3
	Sept.	37.6	23.5	74.4	43.7	6.1	8.8	9.4	9.5
	Oct.	36	17.2	68.6	31.8	4.4	9.6	0.0	7.7
	Mean	36	22.7	78.27	49.67	5.85	8.05	71.87	8.3
2023	July	37.3	25.5	81.3	55.2	6.6	6.0	256.2	8.1
	Aug.	36.1	25.4	76.7	47.3	10.2	0.0	0.0	11.1
	Sept.	37.6	24.9	74.3	46.4	5.9	7.5	61.8	8.8
	Oct.	35.3	18.8	68.5	35.4	5.1	7.0	0.0	8.9
	Mean	36.57	23.65	75.2	46.75	6.95	5.12	79.5	9.22

Commented [PL20]: Use standard table format used in scientific study.
 2. Cite source of the data underneath the table

Result and Discussion

Plant Height

The study revealed significant differences in plant height among Cluster bean, Cow pea and Pearl millet over two years. Pearl millet had the highest average plant height of 160.03 cm, with a slight decrease from 167.20 cm in 2022 to 152.85 cm in 2023. This confirms previous studies that highlight Pearl millet's robust growth and adaptability to various soil and climatic conditions (Singh *et al.*, 2021; Yadav & Kumar, 2021). Cow pea also showed considerable height, averaging 88.96 cm, with a slight increase from 87.89 cm in 2022 to 90.04 cm in 2023, indicating stable growth under the study conditions. Cluster bean, however, had the lowest average height of 47.26 cm, reflecting its typical growth pattern under similar conditions (Kumar *et al.*, 2019).

Commented [PL21]: Yadav et al? Check reference

Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves per plant varied significantly among the treatments. Cow pea had the highest average number of leaves at 65.30, despite a decrease from 68.15 in 2022 to 62.45 in 2023. This aligns with Adewale *et al.* (2020), who noted Cow pea's leafy nature and its benefits for soil cover and organic matter. Pearl millet averaged 27.98 leaves per plant, with a slight increase from 26.10 in 2022 to 29.85 in 2023, consistent with its efficient use of available resources (Sharma *et al.*, 2021). Cluster bean had the fewest leaves, averaging 24.03, with a notable decrease from 32.05 in 2022 to 16.00 in 2023, suggesting a possible sensitivity to yearly climatic variations (Patel *et al.*, 2022).

Commented [PL22]: At what degree of significant?

Commented [PL23]: 2020 or 2022? See reference

Commented [PL24]: What might have caused the increase/decrease in number of leaves? Inherent or metrological perspective?

Number of branches per tiller per plant

The number of branches per tiller per plant showed notable differences, with Cluster bean displaying significant adaptability. The number of branches increased from 4.65 in 2022 to 7.35 in 2023, averaging 6.00, indicating its potential for greater productivity under favorable conditions (Kumar *et al.*, 2019). Cow pea showed an increase from 2.95 in 2022 to 5.55 in 2023, averaging 4.25 branches, which is beneficial for biomass production and ground cover (Olayinka *et al.*, 2019). Pearl millet had a moderate increase from 3.65 in 2022 to 4.45 in 2023, averaging 4.05 branches, aligning with its growth characteristics and efficiency in resource use (Singh *et al.*, 2021).

Commented [PL25]: Indicate significant level

Commented [PL26]: How can Number of branches increased while number of leave decreased in Cluster bean?

Fresh weight per plot

The fresh weight per hac. was significantly higher for Cow pea, averaging 16,348.75 kg, with a slight decrease from 16,797.50 kg in 2022 to 15,900.00 kg in 2023. This high biomass yield supports its use in forage and soil improvement practices (Adewale and Nnamani 2022). Pearl millet had a substantial fresh weight, averaging 12,645.00 kg, with a decrease from 13,163.13 kg in 2022 to 12,126.88 kg in 2023, emphasizing its efficiency in converting available resources into biomass (Rajput & Singh, 2018). Cluster bean had the lowest fresh weight, averaging 6,427.50 kg, reflecting its lower overall biomass production compared to the other crops studied (Kumar *et al.*, 2019).

Commented [PL27]: Degree of probability?

Dry weight per plot

Cow pea also led in dry weight per hac., averaging 4,149.31 kg, with a slight decrease from 4,201.88 kg in 2022 to 4,096.00 kg in 2023. This highlights its potential for producing significant dry matter, beneficial for livestock feed and organic matter input to the soil (Adewale and Nnamani 2022). Pearl millet's dry weight averaged 5,591.19 kg, with a decrease from 5,755.00 kg in 2022 to 5,427.00 kg in 2023, showcasing its efficient biomass production under various conditions (Singh et al., 2020). Cluster bean had the lowest dry weight, averaging 2,379.31 kg, aligning with its lower fresh weight and overall productivity (Kumar et al., 2019).

Commented [PL28]: 2021? See ref

Water Productivity

Water productivity was highest in Pearl millet, maintaining a consistent 1.57 kg/m³ across both years. This underscores its exceptional water use efficiency and suitability for arid and semi-arid regions (Serba et al., 2020). Cow pea's water productivity averaged 1.16 kg/m³, with a slight increase from 1.15 kg/m³ in 2022 to 1.18 kg/m³ in 2023, indicating good water use efficiency, albeit lower than Pearl millet (Adewale and Nnamani 2022). Cluster bean had the lowest water productivity, averaging 0.67 kg/m³, with a slight decrease from 0.69 kg/m³ in 2022 to 0.65 kg/m³ in 2023, reflecting its relatively lower efficiency in converting water into biomass (Patel et al., 2022).

Table 2. Comparative Assessment on Plant height, No. of leaves / plant and No. of branches / tiller /plant of Cluster bean, Cowpea and Pearl millet and in Arid Zones

Treatment	Plant height			No. of leaves / plant			No. of branches / tiller /plant		
	2022	2023	pooled	2022	2023	pooled	2022	2023	pooled
T1 Cluster Bean	50.87	43.65	47.26	32.05	16.00	24.03	4.65	7.35	6.00
T2 Cow Pea	87.89	90.04	88.96	68.15	62.45	65.30	2.95	5.55	4.25
T3 Pearl millet	167.20	152.85	160.03	26.10	29.85	27.98	3.65	4.45	4.05
SEM	3.78	4.22	4.04	2.11	2.80	2.45	0.35	0.46	0.405
CD 5%	13.09*	14.60*	13.84*	7.29*	9.68*	8.48*	1.22*	1.59*	1.40*

Commented [PL29]: Use standard table format

Level of significance $p < 0.05$

Table 3. Comparative Assessment on Fresh weight / hac. (kg), Dry weight / hac. (kg) and Water productivity (kg/m³) of Cluster bean, Cowpea and Pearl millet and in Arid Zones

Formatted: Superscript

Treatment	Fresh weight (kg/hac)			Dry weight (kg/hac)			Water productivity (kg/m ³)		
	2022	2023	pooled	2022	2023	pooled	2022	2023	pooled
T1 (Cluster Bean)	6815.00	6040.00	6427.50	2523.00	2235.00	2379.31	0.69	0.65	0.67
T2 (Cow Pea)	16797.50	15900.00	16348.75	4201.88	4096.00	4149.31	1.15	1.18	1.16
T3 (Pearl millet)	13163.13	12126.88	12645.00	5755	5427.00	5591.19	1.57	1.56	1.57
SEM	395.73	497.98	446.85	167.77	214.95	191.36	0.05	0.06	0.055
CD 5%	1369.42*	1723.25*	1546.33*	580.57*	743.84*	662.20*	0.16*	0.22*	0.19*

Level of significance < 0.05

Conclusion

The study's findings indicate that Pearl Millet is highly efficient in water use and biomass production, making it ideal for water-scarce regions. Cow Pea offers high biomass yield and soil fertility benefits, suitable for forage and green manure. Cluster Bean, while showing lower overall productivity, demonstrates adaptability and resilience, which could be advantageous under specific agronomic conditions. These insights are critical for optimizing crop selection to enhance productivity, resource use efficiency and sustainability in agricultural systems.

Commented [PL30]: Have you conduct fertility test prior or post experiment to ascertain this claim?

Future Thrust

Future research should build on the findings of this study by focusing on genetic improvement, sustainable practices and technological integration to enhance crop productivity, water use efficiency and resilience to climate change. These efforts will be critical in ensuring food security and sustainable agriculture in the face of growing environmental challenges.

References

- Adewale, B. D., & Nnamani, C. V. (2022). Introduction to food, feed and health wealth in African yam bean, a locked-in African indigenous tuberous legume. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *6*, 726458.
- Choudhary, S., Sharma, R., & Singh, A. (2019). Optimization of Crop Selection for Sustainable Agriculture. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews**30*, 157-175.
- Kumar, S., Patel, M. S., & Yadav, S. K. (2019). Adaptability of Cluster Bean Under Different Agronomic Conditions. *Agricultural Science Digest*, *39*(4), 245-250.
- Olayinka, B., Adebayo, A., & Olufemi, O. (2019). Impact of Cowpea Cultivation on Soil Fertility. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, *19*(1), 58-67.

Commented [PL31]: Not cited in the text?

- Patel, A., Rao, P. S., & Desai, R. (2022). Critical Differences in Agronomic Parameters Among Various Crops. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, **17**(2), 98-105.
- Rajput, T. B. S., & Singh, M. (2018). "Improving Water Use Efficiency in Pearl Millet." *Agricultural Water Management*.
- Sharma, R., Gupta, N., & Verma, P. (2021). Comparative Study on Growth Parameters of Different Crops. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **66**(1), 45-53.
- Singh, K., Sharma, S., Thakral, S., & Sharma, K. (2021). Improved practices for enhancing productivity and profitability of fodder and grain type pearl millet under semi-arid conditions: a review.
- Serba, D. D., Yadav, R. S., Varshney, R. K., Gupta, S. K., Mahalingam, G., Srivastava, R. K., ... & Tesso, T. T. (2020). Genomic designing of pearl millet: a resilient crop for arid and semi-arid environments. *Genomic designing of climate-smart cereal crops*, **221-286**.
- Yadav, M., Jadav, N. J., Kumar, D., Raval, C. H., Chaudhari, D., & Chaudhary, N. (2021). Effect of different nutrient management practices on growth, yield attributes and yield of transplanted pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, **33**(22), 260-266.