

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121616</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT STRATAGEMS AND INSTRUCTIONAL STANDPOINTS OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research article is very good and important. The mentioned article, I like because of the style of writing and completeness.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but if write more easy synonyms for the words will be better.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very good and easily to understand and followed the rules of writing an abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the manuscript is appropriate to be publish and very important for academic peoples.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the article is correct and had no mistakes in the context. The article is very suitable for educational institutions and their management strategies as well as for their standpoint of teachers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The researcher used the latest references in this article. I like the new reference and new ideas of the researchers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is used, easily to understand.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Shinwari
Department, University & Country	Sayed Jamaluddin Afghan University, Afghanistan