

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121444
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional benefits of Kodo and Little millet and their role in Dairy industry
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript content is an important issue in the field of nutritional sciences, and it falls within the scope of advances in biology and biotechnology. It is well presented, with few areas requiring corrections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be rephrased. An alternative title is provided here. "Comparative Nutritional Benefits of Kodo and Little Millets Against Other Cereals and their Role in Dairy Production" Since the work also includes a comparative study with other cereals, it will be appropriate to reflect this in the topic.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and covers the key aspects of your study. However, it can be made more concise and focused. How the data was sourced (method of data collection) needs to be incorporated. An abstract should briefly contain the introduction and aim of the study, the method of data collection, such as search engines, database, findings, and conclusion. Suggestions: 1. Clarify the Aim: The aim should be more specific. Mentioning that the study compares millets with other cereals could strengthen the focus. 2. Condense Background Information: While the nutritional and environmental benefits of millet are important, this section could be condensed to emphasize their role in the dairy sector more directly. 3. Mention of Comparison: Briefly introduce the comparative aspect with other cereals to align with the topic. 4. Remove Repetition: Avoid repeating points like the health benefits of millet, and focus on how these benefits translate into advantages in the dairy sector.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has discussed the nutritional benefits of Kodo and little millet and their role in the dairy sector. The author has provided substantial information on the various bioactive components found in Kodo and millets. The molecular structure and functions of these bioactive compounds are commendable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient enough and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication if some of the grammatical errors can be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	The whole work needs to go through a thorough grammatical check. The conclusion also needs to be improved to reflect the summary of the findings in the review. The author should avoid discussing the content again in this part. Some references are not in order and do not follow or conform to the same pattern, as the author's use of numbered references was inconsistent. The in-text citations and references need to be renumbered. Comments on the references have been incorporated into the attached author's work.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogunbiyi Oluwagbenga John
Department, University & Country	Air Force Institute of Technology, Nigeria