

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120359</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diversified Millet-Based Trainings for Sustainable Nutritional Security of Tribals in Andhra Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The authors studied the knowledge dissemination or improved training practices in the millet growers for improved nutritional security of tribal in Andhra Pradesh. But the contents are somewhat disputed as per the title. For example: there is no data or results which shows how and in which determinant the sustainable nutritional security is obtained. So, the title should be modified.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	No. It should be changed. My recommendation is " <b>Knowledge and perception of millet cultivation and processing in the tribal community of Andhra Pradesh</b> "	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Mostly comprehensive but, in the last part need to mention the key implication from the obtained results and message for future researcher(s).	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Mostly. But Fig.2, 3, and 4 should be modified and rearranged as commented inside the manuscript.	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study used Garrett's Ranking Technique, which provides the change of orders of problems into numerical scores. The advantage of this technique is that the problems are arranged based on their severity from the point of view of respondents. But it lacks major statistical analysis and interpretation. Hence the outcomes of the study are accepted to a certain limit.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> :	Sufficient, But one additional reference of "Chowhan, S. 2021. Impact of Agro Technology on Socio-economic Condition of the Farming Groups at Jagannathpur. International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology. 14(1): 97-109. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.30954/0974-1712.01.2021.11">http://dx.doi.org/10.30954/0974-1712.01.2021.11</a> " should be added in the first para of the Introduction for better justification of this work.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Mostly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There are many abbreviations which should be elaborated in the first use.  Please follow/check the original manuscript for details of the comments and suggestions.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sushan Chowhan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh</b>