

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120359</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diversified Millet-Based Trainings for Sustainable Nutritional Security of Tribals in Andhra Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community because millet is a cereal that is part of the staple diet (like wheat or rice), being valuable in terms of nutrient content and also resistant to harsh climates in certain areas of the earth. Millet provides the nutrient requirements for the poor populations of our planet, and the cultivation technology and the varieties used in cultivation are constantly being improved.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this original research article is well done.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The study was based on field data collection using interview technique, with used of questionnaire. The tribal women members were selected randomly for the study and thus making to a total sample of 240 members. The questionnaire was carefully developed to meet the study's objectives and data requirements. The questionnaire included several statements rated on a five point scale: 'strongly agree', 'agree', 'neutral', 'disagree' and 'strongly disagree' (scores of 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1). The responses were then ranked based on the average scores, with higher scores indicating more significant issues. Additionally, the questionnaire contained statements about constraints faced by tribal women towards training programs was also calculated through frequency analysis. The information collected from the interviewed women was calculating the frequency and proportion of different responses in the entire. Descriptive statistics (mean, frequencies) and percentage analysis were employed for analyzing the perception of tribal women trainings. Further constraint analysis (Garett Ranking) was used for ranking the mean scores towards constraints faced by the tribal women towards millet trainings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references sufficient (19) and relatively recently (all of them is after 2010), I think it is very good for an Original Research Article.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>I have made some small corrections in the full article with the red colour!</b> The review article can be published after a minor revision.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mihai- Iacob Bentea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Romania</b>