

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_120651
Title of the Manuscript:	Nigeria power sector: opportunities and challenges
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) The manuscript provided an overview about the Nigeria Power sector and various challenges as well as opportunities available. 2) Provided statistical scenario of the power sector in the Nigeria 3) Provided the information about the recent reforms in the Power sector in Nigeria	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Sufficient	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure is good but numbering of the sections and formatting need to be improved.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) Technical aspects are not there in the article. The authors produced the detailed review about the energy sector in Nigeria 2) Overall statistics and challenges as well as opportunities are presented 3) Article provide the complete information as well as understanding about the Power sector issues	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments	Format related issues and numbering of each section should be there. And also cite the references in proper way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peddakotla Sujatha
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India