

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_121265
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF Gongronema latifolium AND Ocimum gratissimum ON HEMATOLOGICAL INDICES OF ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETIC WISTER RATS EXPOSED TO CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the viewpoint of alternative medicines for metabolic disorders, this paper is quite relevant as the research explores the therapeutic effect of the two herbs mentioned in the research manuscript. There are chemical analogues that may normalise but have their own side effects. So, nowadays research focus is mainly on herbal medicines. In this view point, the research and the findings have their relevance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Sufficient enough	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Haematological parameters play a great role in assessing the prognosis of the disorder. Hence, therapies need to be given to normalise these parameters. The chemical pharmaceuticals have their side effects some of which are quite serious and hence studies to validate the efficacy of herbal medicines is the bneed of the hour.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. 80% are recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Red coloration means there is a need to correct. Green coloration means, the author has to incorporate the correct one in green in place of red. Blue coloration means already corrected by the reviewer. Incorporation of some photos are required. The results can be graphical along with the table.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishnaveni Iyengar
Department, University & Country	L.A.D.College for Women, R.T.M.Nagpur University, India