

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_121101</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design and Implementation of Online Crime Report System Using Rapid Application Development (RAD) Methodology</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper discusses a feasible system that is user centric and web based for online crime reporting. The system is implemented following rapid application development methodology and using web platform for hosting the service. However, there are a lot of very similar papers for designing the crime reporting system. The authors need to justify the novelty of their works, refer to those similar papers in related works and explain how their system differs. Additionally, the paper lacks critical technical details that can prove the system robustness and reliability in real world scenarios. Lastly, the paper mentions the interviews were performed to gather the requirements, however, the final requirements were not included in the paper. Probably the authors forgot to add those. But those are important factors that justify the motivation of the proposed method.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title mentions Rapid Application Development Methodology however the paper mainly focused on the system design and did not elaborate with enough details how the methodology helped the design and implementation of the system. I suggest either providing more details or removing the methodology part from the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract lacks details about the non-functional aspect of the system such as scalability, availability, latency, reliability, durability, etc. This makes it unclear how the system can help crime reporting at scale in the context of both this paper and real world scenarios.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. The paper lacks significant details of the aspect of project management that reflects the RAD methodology. Besides, there is not enough elaboration about technical implementation details, such as database schema design, sequence diagram, CI/CD, API design, etc.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The system mainly comprises of frontend UI and backend database, and it is easy to design and implement technically. However, there is not enough evidence in the paper that proves the system can handle the crime reporting at scale and how the system benefits from iterative project development methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	There are very similar papers that should be covered in related works: <a href="https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333964966_Development_of_an_Online_Crime_Management_Reporting_System">https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333964966_Development_of_an_Online_Crime_Management_Reporting_System</a> <a href="https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jce/papers/Vol26-issue2/Ser-4/G2602043539.pdf">https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jce/papers/Vol26-issue2/Ser-4/G2602043539.pdf</a> <a href="https://ijaem.net/issue_dcp/Online%20Crime%20Reporting%20System.pdf">https://ijaem.net/issue_dcp/Online%20Crime%20Reporting%20System.pdf</a> Author needs to elaborate how their methods differentiate from those.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Panfeng Cao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United States</b>