

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_121101</b>
<u>Title of the Manuscript:</u>	<b><u>Design and Implementation of Online Crime Report System Using Rapid Application Development (RAD) Methodology</u></b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<i>Reviewer's comment</i>  <b>SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS:</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present Manuscript is technically sound. Nevertheless, as far as the social and ethical aspects is concerned, this kind of vigilance system could be questionable!(*)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Nevertheless, observe the compatibility between each text sections and Figures or Tables...! Also observe the compatibility and proportion between Figures dimensions and the main text!	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	(*)Idem and also observe the risk of political manipulation involved in this kind of Control...!	

### Review Form 3

sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes. Please, observe all Editor Norms. Mind the spaces between References.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	<i>I would suggest to observe the discussions about similar theme on the book "Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison" by the French Philosopher Michel Foucault, originally published in 1975. 'This work is considered revolutionary because it managed to change the way of thinking and doing social policy in the Western world'.</i>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jurandyr Santos Nogueira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Bahia (UFBa), Brazil</b>