

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_120921</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comprehensive evaluation of functional and financial performance: A study on selected Farmer Producer Organizations (FPO) in Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work fills a significant research gap in Farmer Producer Organizations (FPO). It makes an important contribution to the scientific community via a good evaluation of both functional and financial performance. It gives a clear understanding of FPO dynamics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In my opinion, the title is clear and informative. It emphasizes the goals of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is organized very well, however, It could be improved by including a short statement about the implications of the findings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are good but I could not see any section for the literature review. The background of the study is also very short.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Significant research gap and well-defined research topic.</li> <li>2. Mixing both quantitative and qualitative research techniques.</li> <li>3. Particular crop and geographic focus for an extensive examination.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author/s used the most recent sources, however, I suggest using more sources because 13 references are not enough	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In my opinion yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is suggested to add a section for a literature review supported by some theories, like cooperative economics and development economics.</li> <li>2. The author/s has to add a detailed introduction to the research data, which period was selected? why did you choose this period? Did you exclude outliers? How do you finalize the data collection?</li> <li>3. It is suggested to add a paragraph after the conclusion to write some limitations and recommendations for future studies.</li> <li>4. It is also recommended to use additional references to support the study results, whether previous studies have found similar or different findings.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amanj Mohamed Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sulaimani Polytechnic University, Iraq</b>