

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121289</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A STUDY ON AWARENESS AND UTILIZATION OF MILLETS AND ITS VALUE-ADDED PRODUCTS AMONG RURAL CONSUMERS IN MADURAI DISTRICT</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the socio-economic factors influencing the awareness and utilization of millets and their value-added products among rural consumers in Madurai district. Its significance lies in highlighting the health benefits of millets and their potential role in addressing global hunger and economic sustainability for farmers. I appreciate this manuscript for its comprehensive approach in linking socio-economic variables with millet consumption, which can inform policy and intervention strategies to promote millet-based diets.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title of the article might be more impactful if it were more specific. A suggested alternative title could be: "Socio-Economic Determinants of Millet Awareness and Utilization among Rural Consumers in Madurai District."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is generally comprehensive but could benefit from a few additions to enhance clarity and impact. It should briefly mention the key findings and the statistical significance of the variables studied. Additionally, highlighting the practical implications of these findings in promoting millet consumption could strengthen the abstract.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The introduction provides a good background, and the objectives are clearly stated. The results are well-organized, and the conclusion effectively summarizes the key findings.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its methodical approach in analyzing the relationship between socio-economic variables and millet utilization. The use of regression analysis to determine the significance of these variables lends credibility to the findings. The manuscript's strength lies in its empirical evidence, supporting the positive health and economic impacts of millet consumption.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references in the manuscript are sufficient and relevant. However, incorporating a few more recent studies from the past 2-3 years could provide additional context and support for the findings.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally clear, but some sentences could be polished for scholarly communication. For instance, "Millets comes under the group small seeded grasses which is grown as cereal crops and also used as fodder for animals" could be rephrased to "Millets are small-seeded grasses grown both as cereal crops and as fodder for animals."	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vasanthkumar SS</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>