

Effect of Mutagens on Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in M_1V_1 and M_1V_2 generations of chrysanthemum cultivars

ABSTRACT

The study's goal was to evaluate genetic diversity, heritability, and genetic progress for yield and floral features in the M_1V_1 and M_1V_2 populations of chrysanthemum cultivars Pithika and Daali using a Factorial Randomized Block Design in 2022-23 and 2023-24. Cuttings were treated with gamma rays and EMS before being analyzed for genetic variation. The high phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) estimated for flower yield per plant, leaf length, and single flower weight in both generations of the mutated population indicated that the genotype could be considered by the phenotype, and that selecting these characters in the early generation based on phenotypic performance would be effective. High heritability recorded for flower yield per plant whereas minimum heritability days to first bud initiation days to first flowering and stem diameter. High genetic advance over mean of per cent was recorded in flower yield per plant. Heritability might be due to effects of additive genes and consideration for the characters with combination of high heritability and high genetic advance will be more effective.

Keywords: heritability, genetic advance, GCV, PCV, chrysanthemum cultivar Pithika and Dalli.

INTRODUCTION

Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) is a multi-purpose flower crop that is becoming increasingly popular as a cut flower for interior decorating and bouquets. Around 1000 kinds have been generated in India as a result of genetic improvement research at various universities. Despite the vast range of variability, little effort has been made to enhance it. There is a need to identify cultivars that are good for growing in varied agroclimatic settings for certain applications. Any breeding strategy for high yield and quality must include information on the type and extent of variability present in current material, as

well as the relationship between the various traits. Flower yield is a complicated trait that is controlled by a multitude of genes and the environment in addition to its connected traits. Breeders are able to implement appropriate breeding programmes when genetic variability is distinguished from total variation, which is a prerequisite for effective selection. To appraise each cultivar's effectiveness, genetic diversity must be determined. Estimating the phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variability of different polygenic characteristics is possible through the use of analysis of variance. The degree of diversity among the many qualities brought about by the innate ability of a genotype can be determined by the genotypic coefficient of variation. To comprehend how the environment affects different polygenic characteristics, one must know the genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation [1].

One breeding technique used to create variety in flower crops, particularly in plants produced vegetatively, is mutation. Worldwide, chrysanthemum is a commercially grown flower crop that is vegetative propagated. [2] Noted that chrysanthemums have a strong tendency for natural and artificial mutations, which are frequently imposed upon chrysanthemum breeding [3&4]. Finding effective and efficient mutagens is crucial to recovering a high frequency of desired mutants. One method of introducing genetic variety has been through mutation brought on by a physical or chemical mutagen. In ornamental crops, the most practical way to quickly create mutants has been via mutagenesis. The flowering and yield characteristics of ornamental plants are their commercial qualities, and these are controlled by several genes. These traits display a wide range of phenotypes and are primarily impacted by their surroundings to a larger extent. It is crucial to research these kinds of quantitative and qualitative characteristics in commercial crops like chrysanthemum. Mutants of these crops that were produced by mutagenesis were extremely important for understanding the trait genes and for improving the crop. Thus, the goal of the current study is to assess genetic variation and examine generated variation.

Materials and Methods

Plant material:

The experimental material consisted of rooted cuttings of the chrysanthemum cultivars Daali and Pithika. In research work used cultivars Pithika and Daali are indigenous cultivars with yellow and white flowers, respectively, as per the RHS chart. The mother plants were kept in a polyhouse. After taking 10 cm terminal cuttings, basal leaves were removed, and a slant cut was made immediately below the nodal section. A part of the

cuttings that had been cut were immersed in Keradix rooting powder in order to encourage quick and widespread rooted. Cuttings were utilised for mutagenic treatments shortly after the treatment and were subsequently put in tubes filled with cocopeat for roots.

Mutagenic treatment

At the Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, rooted cuttings were exposed to six different doses of gamma radiation (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 Gy) using Gamma Cell-200, a Cobalt-60 source that emits 3600 rads per minute. A wide range of EMS concentrations (0.5, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, and 0.3%) were applied to rooted cuttings as a chemical mutagen.

In the experimental field at the Horticulture Research Centre (HRC) College of Horticulture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture Technology Modipuram, Meerut, following the irradiation, the rooted cuttings (mutated) were planted with untreated cuttings (control) in 2022 and 2023 for evaluation based on morphological characters to know the effect of mutagens. Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) was utilised in the experiment's performance. Plants with rooted cuttings were spaced 30 cm apart and 40 cm between rows. The normal approach for chrysanthemum was followed when it came to cultural techniques including fertilization, watering, disease and pest management. When required, hand hoeing was used to keep the crop clear of weeds. Morphological and yield characters were recorded at full growth stage to know the effect of mutagens. Survival percentage (%), Plant height (cm), Stem girth (mm), Leaf length (cm), Leaf width (cm), Number of primary branches, Number of leaves, Leaf area (mm²), Days to flower bud initiation, Days taken for flowering, Duration of flowering (Days), Flower diameter (cm), Single flower weight (g), Number of flowers per plant, Flower yield per plant (g) were measured to know the genetic variation in mutant population.

Result and Discussion

In M_1V_1 generation for both Pithika and Daali cultivars high GCV was observed in flower yield per plant (34.94 and 38.33%) respectively, leaf length (25.12 and 24.26%), single flower weight (23.64 and 28.02%), survival percentage (18.91 and 20.61%), leaf width (17.81 and 13.11%), number of primary branches per plant (16.81 and 18.07%) and diameter of flower (16.44 and 14.15%) respectively, for both mutagens physical and chemical. The lowest genotypic coefficient of variation was observed for both cultivar in number of flowers per plant (4.67 and 8.61%), days to first flowering (6.87 and 3.48%), days to first bud

initiation (7.03 and 10.67%) and stem diameter (8.97 and 8.75%) respectively. For M_1V_2 generation lowest GCV was observed in days to first bud initiation (5.04 and 14.20%), days to first flowering (9.48 and 6.21%) and flowering duration (8.89 and 8.60%). These findings are in line with the results obtained [5] in China aster, [6] in chrysanthemum and [7] in carnation.

High PCV in both cultivars was observed for different traits such as flower yield per plant (35.66 and 38.96) followed by leaf length (33.22 and 35.59%), leaf width (32.46 and 31.58%), number of primary branches per plant (31.77 and 29.12%), single flower weight (27.39 and 31.55%) and number of leaves per plant (22.44 and 14.78%). Whereas lowest phenotypic coefficient of variation were recorded in days taken to first flowering (9.95 and 9.41%) and days taken to first bud initiation (10.91 and 14.40%) in both M_1V_1 generation. In M_1V_2 generation low PCV for both cultivars Pithika and Daali was recorded in flower duration (11.11 and 17.38) and days to first flowering (11.75 and 10.81) whereas, maximum PCV noticed in leaf length (28.63 and 27.72) followed by flower yield per plant (25.57 and 33.73%) and leaf width (24.61 and 22.56%). Similar result was finding by [8] in rice and [9] in gladiolus.

High heritability estimates in M_1V_1 generation for Pithika and Daali cultivars was observed for flower yield per plant (96.01 and 96.82%) followed by survival percentage (90.17 and 89.89%), single flower weight (74.54 and 79.24%), and diameter of flower (51.77 and 81.88 %) respectively, in mutant population and low heritability was recorded for Pithika cultivar such as number of flowers per plant (22.38%), number of primary branches per plant (27.99%) and flowering duration (29.93%) whereas, minimum heritability in Daali cultivar was noticed in days to first flowering (13.68%) and leaf width (17.25%). While M_1V_2 generation for both cultivars (Pithika and Daali) high heritability were observed in flower yield per plant (93.34 and 95.89 %), number of branches per plant (85.34 and 89.66) and leaf length (78.96 and 92.78%). The low heritability for Pithika cultivar was recorded in days to first bud initiation (18.90%) followed by diameter of flower (39.45%) however, minimum heritability for Daali cultivar was noticed in flowering duration (24.53%) and days to first flowering (33.05%). The same heritability pattern was seen in mutated population of bread wheat by [10], [5] in China aster and [11] in Dahlia.

High estimate of genetic advance over mean in M_1V_1 generation for both cultivars were observed for flower yield per plant (70.53 and 77.70%) as well as, single flower

weight(42.06 and 51.49%). While, moderate estimates of genetic advance over mean was observed for survival percentage (36.99 and 40.27%) and leaf length (39.13 and 34.04%) whereas, low genetic advance as per cent of mean was noticed in flowering duration (8.72 and 8.56%), days taken to first bud initiation (9.34 and 16.29%) and days taken to first flowering (9.77 and 2.65%). Likewise, same result found in M_1V_2 generation for both cultivars maximum genetic advance over mean per cent was recorded in flower yield per plant (49.17 and 64.61%) whereas, minimum genetic advance over mean was noticed in days to first flowering (11.32 and 7.36%). Similar variations were seen in gladiolus [9] and [12] in Rose.

Since genotypic coefficients of variability (GCV) only show the heritable fraction, they would be more helpful in assessing intrinsic or genuine variability [1]. For some characters, the calculated GCV was nearly identical to the PCV. It is clear from the study that these characters' expressions were consistently less influenced by their surroundings. One may infer that selection can be based on the phenotypic diversity as such. The heritable component of the variability found in various characteristics is shown by heritability estimates. The plant breeder can choose the direction of the selection process thanks to their understanding of heredity.

However, heritability estimates paired with genetic progress would be more dependable [13] and valuable in designing selection process. Heritability in broad sense, evaluating the level of variation attributable to non-genetic variables. Broadsense heritability may not always indicate a greater responsiveness to selection since it includes non-additive genetic variables. Thus, estimating genetic progress will provide insight into the nature of genes' effects, allowing for easy prediction of their response to selection. Thus, the research of heredity in conjunction with genetic advancement was prioritized in evaluating the resultant influence for picking the finest individuals.

Conclusion

In this experiment High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent over mean was recorded for the characters *viz.* flower yield per plant, survival per cent, number of branches per plant, leaf length and single flower weight. High heritability and high genetic advance as per cent over mean indicating predominance of additive gene component. Thus, there is ample scope for improving these characters through direct selection.

Table 1: Genetic variability in mutant population M₁V₁ of Pithika cultivar treated with mutagens

Traits	Mean	Min.	Max.	Heritability (%)	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as % of mean
SURVIVAL PERCENTAGE	67.38	48.67	91.86	90.17	18.91	19.91	24.92	36.99
PLANT HEIGHT	40.20	34.95	50.66	41.14	10.38	16.13	5.5	13.75
STEM DIAMETER	4.54	3.89	5.44	37.61	8.97	14.62	1.01	11.33
LEAF LENGTH	6.30	4.10	8.96	57.18	25.12	33.22	2.46	39.13
LEAF WIDTH	4.09	2.97	6.05	30.11	17.81	32.46	1.82	20.13
NUMBER OF PRIMARY BRANCHES	15.50	10.65	21.57	27.99	16.81	31.77	2.84	18.32
NUMBER OF LEAVES PER PLANT	80.90	58.48	104.68	42.44	14.62	22.44	15.87	19.62
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWER BUD INITIATION	33.63	27.31	38.02	41.53	7.03	10.91	3.14	9.34
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWERING	48.47	41.27	54.00	47.68	6.87	9.95	4.74	9.77
NUMBER OF FLOWER PER PLANT	182.73	152.37	214.67	22.38	4.67	18.67	1.78	11.56
DIAMETER OF FLOWER	2.59	1.96	3.5	51.77	16.44	22.85	5.45	24.36
SINGLE FLOWER WEIGHT	2.31	1.38	3.28	74.54	23.64	27.39	1.21	42.06
FLOWERING DURATION	33.92	29.46	41.97	29.93	7.73	14.14	2.95	8.72
FLOWER YIELD PER PLANT	432.11	210.27	684.47	96.01	34.94	35.66	304.77	70.53

Table 2: Genetic variability in mutant population M₁V₁ of Daali cultivar treated with mutagens

Traits	Mean	Min.	Max.	Heritability (%)	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as % of mean
SURVIVAL PERCENTAGE	60.13	42.45	84.94	89.89	20.61	21.74	24.21	40.27
PLANT HEIGHT	31.92	25.15	42.14	64.44	14.96	18.64	7.90	24.74
STEM DIAMETER	3.45	2.89	4.13	35.21	8.75	14.75	2.36	10.69
LEAF LENGTH	8.14	5.92	12.23	46.48	24.26	35.59	2.77	34.07
LEAF WIDTH	5.10	3.87	7.10	17.25	13.11	31.58	2.01	11.34
NUMBER OF PRIMARY BRANCHES	10.11	7.18	14.56	38.49	18.07	29.12	2.33	23.09
NUMBER OF LEAVES PER PLANT	53.05	41.20	64.56	68.37	12.22	14.78	11.04	20.81
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWER BUD INITIATION	42.61	33.79	52.27	54.89	10.67	14.40	6.94	16.29
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWERING	58.62	52.45	64.10	13.68	3.48	9.41	1.55	2.65
NUMBER OF FLOWER PER PLANT	37.17	29.15	45.82	28.66	8.67	16.21	3.56	9.57
DIAMETER OF FLOWER	3.59	2.93	4.61	81.88	14.15	15.64	1.21	26.39
SINGLE FLOWER WEIGHT	2.96	1.54	4.76	79.24	28.02	31.55	1.53	51.49
FLOWERING DURATION	26.63	21.88	42.91	66.66	18.79	23.01	8.41	8.56
FLOWER YIELD PER PLANT	113.02	44.89	10.54	96.82	38.33	38.96	87.83	77.70

Table 3: Genetic variability in mutant population M₁V₂ of Pithika cultivar treated with mutagens

Traits	Mean	Min.	Max.	Heritability (%)	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as % of mean
SURVIVAL PERCENTAGE	68.60	52.89	85.89	49.98	13.22	18.69	13.20	19.25
PLANT HEIGHT	44.21	38.56	54.78	74.30	10.83	12.57	8.50	19.24
STEM DIAMETER	5.26	4.26	6.78	52.52	13.97	19.28	1.09	20.85
LEAF LENGTH	6.78	4.73	9.54	78.96	25.44	28.63	3.15	46.57
LEAF WIDTH	4.55	3.45	6.78	73.78	21.14	24.61	1.70	37.42
NUMBER OF PRIMARY BRANCHES	17.01	11.64	24.03	85.34	21.50	23.88	6.96	40.93
NUMBER OF LEAVES PER PLANT	86.40	64.80	109.40	38.73	12.94	20.80	14.34	16.60
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWER BUD INITIATION	29.67	22.97	34.55	18.90	5.04	18.78	8.78	12.78
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWERING	43.76	36.54	51.23	65.07	9.48	11.75	6.90	11.32
NUMBER OF FLOWER PER PLANT	197.03	160.55	279.86	49.11	13.61	19.43	38.73	19.66
DIAMETER OF FLOWER	3.38	2.75	4.44	39.45	11.48	18.28	5.03	14.85
SINGLE FLOWER WEIGHT	3.11	2.34	3.98	39.91	12.28	19.44	4.98	15.99
FLOWERING DURATION	38.26	33.20	45.50	64.00	8.89	11.11	5.60	14.65
FLOWER YIELD PER PLANT	605.91	375.68	866.05	93.34	24.70	25.57	297.95	49.17

Table 4: Genetic variability in mutant population M₁V₂ of Daali cultivar treated with mutagens

Traits	Mean	Min.	Max.	Heritability (%)	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as % of mean
SURVIVAL PERCENTAGE	64.81	48.04	88.69	43.23	16.03	24.38	14.07	21.71
PLANT HEIGHT	36.69	29.23	46.33	65.57	12.30	15.20	7.53	20.52
STEM DIAMETER	3.83	3.23	4.98	49.31	9.01	16.34	3.89	12.31
LEAF LENGTH	8.78	6.28	12.93	92.78	26.70	27.72	4.65	52.98
LEAF WIDTH	5.51	4.02	7.56	69.02	18.74	22.56	1.76	32.07
NUMBER OF PRIMARY BRANCHES	12.51	9.04	17.56	89.66	20.35	21.50	4.50	39.70
NUMBER OF LEAVES PER PLANT	58.06	46.80	68.90	53.58	9.95	13.59	8.71	15.00
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWER BUD INITIATION	39.56	28.89	52.98	76.44	14.20	16.24	10.12	25.57
DAYS TO FIRST FLOWERING	53.16	45.78	60.44	33.05	6.21	10.81	3.92	7.36
NUMBER OF FLOWER PER PLANT	46.30	38.90	54.30	62.43	8.40	10.63	6.33	13.67
DIAMETER OF FLOWER	4.42	3.45	5.50	62.56	13.06	16.51	1.11	21.27
SINGLE FLOWER WEIGHT	3.56	2.34	5.25	84.96	23.86	25.89	1.62	45.30
FLOWERING DURATION	30.09	25.60	38.83	24.53	8.60	17.38	2.64	8.78
FLOWER YIELD PER PLANT	167.92	91.03	259.88	95.89	32.03	33.71	108.49	64.61

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

1. References

- Allard RW. Principles of plant breeding, part-2: Biological foundations of plant breeding, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1960.
- Malaure RS, Barclay G, Power JB, Davey MR. The production of novel plants from florets of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* using tissue culture. Shoot regeneration from ray florets and somaclonal variation exhibited by the regenerated plants. J Plant Physiol 1991;139:8-13.
- Zalewska M, Miler N, Tymoszek A, Drzewiecka B, Winiecki J. Results of mutation breeding activity on *Chrysanthemum* × *grandiflorum* (Ramat.) Kitam. In Poland. EJPAU 2010;13(4):27.
- Zalewska M, Tymoszek A, Miler N. New chrysanthemum cultivars as a result of in vitro mutagenesis with the application of different explant types. Acta Sci. Pol., HortorumCultus 2011;10(2):109-123.
- Poornima, G., D. P. Kumar, D. Thippesha, B. Mahanthes and B. H. Naik. Variability and correlation in different cultivars of china aster (*Callistephuschinesis*.) Environ. and Eco.2007;25(1): 124-127.
- Ragahva S. P. S., S. S. Neghi, D. Nancharaiah. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in chrysanthemum. Indian J. Hort.,1992; 49(2): 200-204.
- Roychowdhury, R and J. Tah. Chemical mutagenic action on seed germination and related agro- metrical traits in M1 Dianthus generation. Curr. Bot.,2011. 2(8): 19-23.
- Sharifi P. Genetic variation for grain yield, yield components and qualitative traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mutants. Genetika 2019;51(3):829-843.
- Bhajantri A, Patil VS. Studies on ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) induced mutations for enhancing variability of gladiolus varieties (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort) in M1V2 generation. Karnataka J Agric. Sci 2013;26(3):403-407
- Balkan A. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in M2-4 generations of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. Turk. J Field Crops 2018;23(2):173-179.
- Verma, S. Genetic variability and character association in gladiolus (*G. grandiflorus* L.) M. Sc. Ag. Thesis, GBPUA&T., Pantnagar, 2004.
- Lal, S. D., J. N. Seth, J. P. Yadav and N.S. Danu. Genetic variability and correlation studies in rose. Prog. Hort., 1982;14(4): 234-236.
- Johnson, H. W., H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock. Estimation of genotype and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955;47: 314-318.