

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121441</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Studies on the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema grandiflorum</i>) cv. Pusa shwet”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good and it is useful as they mentioned different bio fertilizers in combination with the NPK. As this study is useful in low fertile lands in which the applied bio fertilizers increase the fertility of the soil by absorbing the nutrients and reduce the application of the commercial fertilizers. Thus, saving the fertilizer cost and increases the farmer income.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract in the paper is too short it should be more detail in terms of the result presentation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, see the comments	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, see comments	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The discussion part is not in depth in terms of the presentation and application. Highlight the contributions of the results obtained	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mudigiri Chandana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India</b>