

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121412
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Sesame (Sesamum Indicum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript can be used as a base line for other researchers who wish to do on the integrated use of fertilizers. But, I do not like the way the methodology and result are written. Because both of these parts are very shallow and incomplete to me. The citations used and the way they were used is not good for me. The way the researcher/s wrote his/her/their Abstract is appreciable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	With minor issues Yes, it is short in complete.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Except their shallowness.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically the paper is good. Because it contains all parts a scientific paper should contain. Supported with scientific researches even though they are not enough and recent. The coherence of ideas is the other good point.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are not enough and are too old. Even the way the "et al.", "written shall be corrected based on the comment indicated in the document.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endalkachew Baye
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia