

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119873
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth Characteristics of the soil properties of rice crops
Type of the Article	Original paper
Date	15 July 2024

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>No</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Somewhat</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In my opinion the manuscript have serious writing and structural defects and can not be recommended for publication at the current form</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract was not presented in a standard manner. The work objective and significance should be presented in the first lines of the abstract. Moreover, more results with comparative numerical values should be provide in the end of abstract 2. The author had indicated the work background in the introduction, however, the work novelty and significance had not explained. 3. statistical analyses and experimental design were not explained in the manuscript 4. More discussion is need for the work 5. Why the author did not provided standard deviation and statistical significance symbols? 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyed Mehdi Razavi
Department, University & Country	University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran