

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121341
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of varieties and sowing dates on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under delayed sowing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>COMMENTS:</p> <p>Selection of research work: Need based research work to find suitable sowing date, under changing climate and also to find suitable groundnut variety under delayed sowing.</p> <p>Materials & methods: technical standards methods are used. Sufficient details of the methods/process are provided.</p> <p>The period of observation on plant height should be mentioned</p> <p>Results & discussion : The data is well-controlled and statistically analyzed with suitable methods and sufficient.</p> <p>The data on dry matter accumulation per plant should be mentioned instead of per ha</p> <p>Relevant references mentioned in discussion.</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion: The discussion and conclusion added is based on given data.</p> <p>But in conclusion should be given separate for varieties and date of sowing as there was no any significant interaction between varieties and date of sowing as per data..</p> <p>References: all the references cited relevant and adequate</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pawar S.U
Department, University & Country	Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, India