

Original Research Article

Identification of Groundwater Prospect Zones in Wakawali Watershed of Ratnagiri District of Maharashtra, India Using Geospatial and AHP Technology

Abstract

Groundwater is a crucial source of fresh water that is stored below the Earth's surface in the saturated zone, filling gaps and spaces in soil and geological formations. Groundwater is a hidden natural resource that cannot be directly detected, therefore mapping of this resource can be challenging task. In the present study, remote sensing and geographic information systems techniques are collectively used to categorize groundwater potential zones in the Wakawali watershed of Ratnagiri District. In this study, SRTM DEM of 30 m resolution and conventional data was used to generate thematic layers such as slope, drainage density, lithology, geomorphology, soil and rainfall. Weightage percentages to each thematic layer were assigned according to their relative importance to groundwater potentiality using AHP technique. Further, integrated using "weighted sum" tool in Arc-GIS software. The outcomes of the groundwater potential map of the study area were classified into five zones viz., very poor, poor, moderately poor, good, very good contributing to 3.18%, 17.68%, 30.86%, 31.18% and 17.11% respectively.

Keywords: DEM, Groundwater Potential Zone, GIS, Remote Sensing.

1. Introduction

Water is the crucial natural resources essential for agricultural production and human survival. Different types of precipitation lead to various sources of water, with the most plentiful reservoir of freshwater being situated underground which known as groundwater. Groundwater is a form of water held under the ground in the saturated zone that fills all the pore space of soils and geologic formations. It is formed by rainwater or snowmelt water that seeps down through the soil and into the underlying rocks[1]. The regions of the Earth's crust that contain water and act as channels for water movement and storage are known as groundwater potential zones. The total annual groundwater withdrawal of the country in 2023 is estimated to be 241.34 billion cubic meter (bcm), in which agricultural sector is the largest consumer of underground water resources, accounting for 87% of the annual groundwater volume of 209.74 bcm. Household use accounts for 11% (27.57 bcm) and industry 2% (4.03 bcm) [2]. The increased need for agricultural products for both food and non-food use, along with population growth and economic development, have led to increased competition for freshwater resources in recent decades. Hence, the delineation of groundwater potential zones has acquired great importance.

As groundwater is a hidden natural resource that is difficult to locate and mapping. It was directly or indirectly controlled by terrain characteristics i.e. geomorphology, streams density, topography, water bodies, etc all these surface hydrology characteristics engage in recreation of groundwater replenishment[3]. Meanwhile, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) are helpful in locating its prospect zones. The application of the AHP model, along with RS and GIS techniques, is more popular all over the world due to its acceptance. A large number of research works with the best results have already been done on groundwater assessment by this model [4],[5]. Present study was carried out to delineate groundwater potential zones by preparation and analysis of various thematic layers.

Study Area Details

Wakawali watershed is situated in Dapoli Tehsil of Ratnagiri district, Maharashtra and covers a geographical area of 620 ha. which shown in Fig. 1. The watershed is located between the 17° 44' to 17° 47' N and 73° 16' to 73° 18' E.

Comment [SC1]: Include quantitative values in the abstract for a rich abstract.

Comment [SC2]: Add a recommendation and how the results could influence policies relating to groundwater site identification

Comment [SC3]: Add more information on global, regional and local studies and how your study seeks to enhance such studies.

Comment [SC4]: Not clear. IS it a name? If yes, respect grammar rules.




Fig. 1: Location map of study area

2. Materials and Methods

In the present study digital elevation model (DEM) of shuttle radar topographic mission (SRTM) with 30 m resolution was downloaded from (<http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>). The ArcGIS software has been used for generation of different thematic layers (drainage density, slope, land use land cover, geomorphology, lithology, rainfall and soil) as well as processing the remotely sensed data for delineating watershed, extraction of drainage network and slope of the watershed. Geomorphology and lithology data were downloaded from Bhukoshportal (<https://bhukosh.gsi.gov.in/>). Land use land cover map was prepared from the MSL Level-1C Sentinel-2B satellite imagery with acquisition date 24.01.2024 (10m resolution) downloaded from Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem portal (<https://dataspace.copernicus.eu/>). The land use land cover map of the Wakawali watershed generated through the supervised classification method in ERDAS IMAGINE Software. The rainfall distribution map was prepared by using inverse distance weighted (IDW) interpolation method and soil map of the study area was generated using Arc GIS Software.

Comment [SC5]: Add coordinates to the maps. The scales are not right. The maps indicate different levels hence should take different scales. Improve them accordingly. The map indicates a watershed- was it delineated or it is an existing watershed. If yes, add its name.

Comment [SC6]: Indicate the accuracy assessment results. What was the Kappa coefficient?

Comment [SC7]: Was the LULC map already generated or you performed supervised classification? This part is confusing.

Comment [SC8]: Indicate why this method was chosen in favour of other methods such as kriging, nearest neighbor etc?

Comment [SC9]: Which version?




Fig. 2: Flow chart for groundwater potential zone map

Identification of Groundwater Potential Zones

Multi-criteria decision analysis utilizing the Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) is the most widely used and well-known GIS-based technique for locating groundwater potential zones. The AHP is the measurement of weightage through pairwise comparison which was made on a scale of numbers 1 to 9 which indicates particular layer is how much important than other factors[6]. AHP method is the extremely adopted by researchers around the world for identification groundwater potential mapping studies [7],[8]. A specific weight was assigned to each theme. Rank was allotted to each characteristic. Every factor had divergent influence in different area. Therefore, every factor was assigned a weight depending upon their involvement towards ground water potentiality[3]. The weightages assigned to the different thematic layers by AHP are given in Table-1. After the assigning the weights, all thematic layers were reclassified with their ranking values. Further, reclassified thematic layers with ranking values were integrated with the help of “weighted sum” tool in Arc GIS to obtain the groundwater potential zone (GWPZ) map of the study area. The generated map indicating the very poor, poor, moderately poor, good, very good groundwater potential zones.

Comment [SC10]: The use of AHP is a very good tool. However, some aspects have not clearly been stated here. How were the weights assigned? Were experts involved to decide on the weights? If yes, how were their decisions integrated to come up with a final weight? What was the consistency ratio? Indicate the methodology and all involved equations in this section. Report the findings in the results section.

Table 1. Weightage and ranking for different thematic maps are assigned using Saaty's Analytical Hierarchy Process

Sr. No.	Theme	Sub-Class	Rank	Weight (%)
1	Land use land cover	Water Body	5	26
		Agriculture	4	
		Barren Land	2	
		Forest	3	
		Built Up	1	
		Orchard	3	
2	Slope %	0 to 5	5	16
		5 to 10	4	
		10 to 15	3	
		15 to 20	2	
		>20	1	
3	Drainage Density (km/km ²)	<1	5	13
		1 to 3	4	
		3 to 5	3	
		5 to 8	2	
		>8	1	
4	Rainfall (mm)	3830 - 3850	3	7
		3850 - 3870	3	
		3870 - 3890	4	
		3890 - 3910	4	
			3	
5	Soil	Sandy Loam	3	15
6	Lithology	Basalt	4	10
		Laterite	2	
7	Geomorphology	Moderately Dissected Plateau	2	13
		Pediment Pediplain Complex	4	
TOTAL=				100

Comment [SC11]: Indicate how these weights were determined.

3. Results and Discussion

Slope: The topographic slope of the area has its own importance in affecting the runoff, recharge and movement of surface water[7]. In general slope is the maximum rate of change in height across a surface. In this study, a slope map was generated from SRTM DEM using Arc-GIS software. The slope of Wakawali watershed varies from 0 to >20 % and divided into five classes namely gentle, moderately gentle, steep, moderately steep and very steep. About 79 percent area of the watershed found in the range of gentle to moderately gentle slope. The areal extent of slope ranges over the study area is represented in Table-2 and spatial distribution of different classes of slope percent over the study area is depicted in Fig. 3.

Comment [SC12]: Which version??

Table 2. Areal extent of slope

Sr. No.	Slope Range	Class	Area (ha)	Area (%)
1	0 to 5	Gentle	284.53	45.89
2	5 to 10	Moderately Gentle	205.42	33.13
3	10 to 15	Steep	72.40	11.68
4	15 to 20	Moderately Steep	23.37	3.77
5	>20	Very Steep	34.28	5.53
TOTAL=			620.00	100




Fig. 3: Spatial distribution map of slope

Drainage Density (DD): Drainage density is the ratio of the total length of all streams of all orders within a watershed to the total area of the watershed. Drainage density map of the Wakawali watershed was developed using stream order map through Arc-GIS software. If drainage density is higher, less will be infiltration and more will be runoff [9]. The area with low drainage density, probability of groundwater potential zone is high. Drainage density of Wakawali watershed is divided into five classes viz, 0-1 km/km^2 , 1-3 km/km^2 , 3-5 km/km^2 , 5-8 km/km^2 and >8 km/km^2 their areal distribution is given in Table-3 and spatial distribution map of slope depicted in Fig. 4.

Table 3. Areal distribution of drainage density

Sr. No.	Drainage Density (km/km^2)	Category	Area (ha)	Area (%)
1	0 to 1	Very Good	435.92	70.31
2	1 to 3	Good	27.19	4.38
3	3 to 5	Moderate	31.31	5.05
4	5 to 8	Poor	38.69	6.24
5	>8	Very Poor	86.89	14.02
TOTAL=			620.00	100




Fig. 4: Spatial distribution map of drainage density

Lithology: It is a very significant characteristic in predicting groundwater potential zones. Lithology includes the study of general physical properties of rocks as well as chemical, mineral composition of rock as well as it plays an important role in groundwater potential because properties of rocks such as porosity and permeability affect infiltration and groundwater flow[10].The Wakawali watershed fall under two group of geological formation, which is (i) Laterite (ii) Basalt. In this study laterite rock formation was found 51% (314.90 ha). of total area and around 49% (305.10 ha) of total area is covered by basalt rock formation. The spatial distribution of lithology is depicted in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5: Spatial distribution map of lithology

Geomorphology: Geomorphology is the study of earth structures and landforms. It is mainly depended on structural evolution of geological formation[11],[12]. In this study area, only two geomorphic units were identified namely moderately dissected plateau which covering area of 97.90 ha. (15.79%)and pediment pediplain complex which covering area of 522.10ha. (84.21%). The spatial distribution of lithologic group over the stud area is depicted in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6: Spatial distribution map of geomorphology

Soil: The water-holding capacity of an area depends upon the soil types and their permeability.[13],[14]. The initial infiltration and transmission of surface water into an aquifer system is a function of soil type and its texture [15]. Some researchers conducted field experiment on soil characteristics in Wakawali watershedtheir findings revealed that the soil in the study area classified

as 'Sandy loam' in terms of texture[16],[17],[18].On the basis of their study, it was considered that the soil texture of the Wakawali watershed is also sandy loam. The spatial distribution of soil texture over the study area is depicted in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7: Spatial distribution map of soil texture

Rainfall:Rainfall is the main source of surface water which plays a significant role in the groundwater recharge of an area. The monsoon rainfall map of the study area ranged from 3830 mm to 3910 mm. The rainfall map was classified into four classes which are mentioned in Table 6. The Wakawali watershed contains a major of 30.67% area covered by rainfall ranging 3870 mm to 3890 mm followed by 3850 mm to 3870 mm (about 28.66%). The decrease tendency of rainfall scenario reflects from southern to northern part in study area which is depicted in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8: Spatial distribution map of rainfall

Land Use Land Cover (LULC):Land use land cover is an important factor related to ground water potential zoning. Land use land cover provides information about the kind of surface cover in the land, because infiltration of the water is much depending on the kind of surface cover[12]. In the present study, classes of the land use land cover map classified into six major classes namely water bodies, agriculture land, barren land, forest, built up area and orchard which is depicted in Fig 8.The areal distribution of land use land cover classes of the Wakawali watershed is illustrated in Table-4. It was observed that the forest land cover major part of the watershed (32.59 %) followed by land (27.99 %).

The least portion of the Wakawali watershed was associated with water bodies which consist of 0.47 %.

Table 4. Areal distribution of land use land cover

Sr. No.	Land use land cover classes	Area (ha)	Area (%)
1	Water Body	2.90	0.47
2	Agriculture	126.72	20.44
3	Barren Land	173.52	27.99
4	Forest	202.06	32.59
5	Built Up	30.80	4.97
6	Orchard	84.00	13.55
TOTAL=		620.00	100




Fig. 9: Spatial distribution map of land use land cover

Groundwater Potential Zone: The groundwater potential zones map through AHP technique and weighted overlay method divided the study area into five classes, viz., very poor, poor, moderately poor, good and very good zones contributing to 19.67ha. (3.18%), 109.60ha. (17.68%), 191.34ha. (30.86%), 193.31ha. (31.18%) and 106.05ha. (17.11%) respectively. Fig. 10 shows the spatial distribution of groundwater potential zones over the study area.




Fig. 10: Groundwater potential zone map of Wakawali watershed

4. CONCLUSION:

In the present study, the combined use of Remote Sensing and GIS with Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique is proved to be a powerful tool for the identification of groundwater potential zones in the study area. The study area divided into five groundwater potential zones. The greater part of the study area shows moderately poor to good range of groundwater potential. The integration of slope, drainage density, geomorphology, lithology, soil, rainfall and land use land cover gives prior information to planner and decision makers involved in the preparation of water resources development plans, so as to prepare economically viable plans.

References

1. Ndatuwong LG, Yadav GS. Integration of hydrogeological factors for identification of groundwater potential zones using remote sensing and GIS techniques. *Journal of Geosciences and Geomatics*. 2014;2(1):11-6.
2. CGWB. National Compilation on Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India. 2023.
3. Bhangе HN, Singh PK, Purohit RC, Yadav KK, Jain HK, Jain S. Use of Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques in Delineation of Groundwater Potential Zones. *Int. Res. J. Earth Sci*. 2016;4(9):1-6.
4. Rajasekhar M, Upendra B, Raju GS, Anand. Identification of groundwater potential zones in southern India using geospatial and decision-making approaches. *Applied Water Science*. 2022 Apr;12(4):68.
5. Kumar AV, Mondal NC, Ahmed S. Identification of groundwater potential zones using RS, GIS and AHP techniques: a case study in a part of Deccan volcanic province (DVP), Maharashtra, India. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*. 2020 Mar;48(3):497-511.
6. Saaty TL. *The Analytic Hierarchy Process*. McGraw-Hill, New York. 1980.
7. Machiwal D, Jha MK, Mal BC. Assessment of groundwater potential in a semi-arid region of India using remote sensing, GIS and MCDM techniques. *Water resources management*. 2011 Mar;25:1359-86.
8. Navane VS and Sahoo SN. A review of integrated RS and GIS technique in groundwater potential zone mapping. *Hydro International*: 2017;1-10.
9. Horton RE. Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins; hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology. *Geological society of America bulletin*. 1945 Mar 1;56(3):275-370.
10. Keskar PR, Ayare BL, Bhangе HN, Ingle PM, and Kolhe PR. Identification of groundwater potential zones in Kajali River basin using remote sensing and GIS. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 2023;12(10): 2137-2144.

Comment [SC13]: The results are very good. However, they need to be strengthened by being compared or contrasted to other literature related to the same so that the contribution of knowledge is well set. This section lacks that comparative analysis.

Secondly, some validation needed to be undertaken to determine the accuracy of the method.

In addition to the method, groundwater assessment also requires some geological aspects. Indicate the linkage of the findings with geological and hydrogeological aspects.

11. Waikar ML, Nilawar AP. Identification of groundwater potential zone using remote sensing and GIS technique. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2014 May;3(5):12163-74.
12. Patle D, Awasthi MK. Groundwater potential zoning in Tikamgarh District of Bundelkhand using remote sensing and GIS. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*. 2019;12(4):311-318.
13. Joyce D. and Santhi HM. Mapping the Groundwater Potential Zones in sub-basin of Kodayar using Satellite Imagery with GIS Application. *International Journal of Earth Science and Engineering*. 2014;7(5): 1973-1980.
14. Anbazhagan S, Ramasamy SM, Das Gupta S. Remote sensing and GIS for artificial recharge study, runoff estimation and planning in Ayyar basin, Tamil Nadu, India. *Environmental Geology*. 2005 Jul;48:158-70.
15. Rawat KS, Singh SK, Szilard S. Comparative evaluation of models to estimate direct runoff volume from an agricultural watershed. *Geology, Ecology, and Landscapes*. 2021 Apr 3;5(2):94-108.
16. Salvi VG, Mane RS, Biradar S, Raut B. Effect of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on microbial activity and carbon dioxide evolution and their correlation with yield of Yam bean on Lateritic soils of Konkan region. *Pharma Innovation J*. 2021;10(12):2664-8.
17. Biradar S, Salvi VG, Raut B, Deshmukh U. Periodical changes in chemical properties of soil as affected by inorganic and organic manure and their correlation with yield of yam bean in Coastal region of Maharashtra. *Pharma Innovation J*. 2021;10(12):906-9.
18. Biradar S, and Salvi VG. Nutrient content, quality and yield of yam bean as affected by different levels of inorganic and organic manure in coastal region of Maharashtra. *International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research*, 2023;8(1):359-365.

Comment [SC14]: Check the right referencing style for the Journal.

UNDER PEER REVIEW