Mechanical Properties and Engineering Applications of Flexural and Tensile Properties in Materials Science

Abstract

Aim: This research project aims to comprehensively characterize the flexural and tensile properties of banana fibre and analyze their implications for engineering applications. The objective is to elucidate the relationship between material microstructure, processing parameters, and mechanical behavior, thereby providing valuable insights for materials selection, design optimization, and advanced materials development.

Methods: Experimental testing was conducted to characterize the flexural and tensile properties of banana fibre using standardized procedures for flexural testing (e.g., ASTM D790) and tensile testing (e.g., ASTM D638). Specimens were prepared according to established protocols, and testing was performed using state-of-the-art equipment and protocols. The collected data were analyzed statistically to determine key mechanical parameters, including maximum stress, strain, modulus of elasticity, and energy absorption capacity.

Results: The experimental results revealed comprehensive insights into the flexural and tensile properties of banana fibre. Analysis of the data identified trends, correlations, and key factors influencing mechanical behavior. Flexural properties, including flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, and toughness, were characterized under various loading conditions and geometries. Tensile properties, such as tensile strength, strain, and modulus of elasticity, were assessed to evaluate the material's ability to withstand stretching or elongation without failure.

Conclusion: The findings of this research project provide valuable insights for engineering applications and materials development. The comprehensive characterization of flexural and tensile properties offers guidance for material selection, structural design, and performance optimization in various industries. Furthermore, the analysis of the relationship between material microstructure, processing parameters, and mechanical behavior contributes to advancements in materials science and engineering. Overall, this research project enhances our understanding of the mechanical behavior of materials and provides a basis for future research and innovation in the field.

Key words: Flexural properties, Tensile properties, Materials characterization, Engineering applications, and Advanced materials

Introduction:

Materials science and engineering play a pivotal role in shaping the modern world by providing the foundation for the development of innovative technologies and solutions across various industries. Understanding the mechanical properties of materials is essential for designing reliable and efficient structures, components, and devices (Hurlbert et al., 2001). Among the numerous mechanical properties, flexural and tensile properties hold particular significance due to their relevance in structural applications and material selection processes.

Flexural properties, such as flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, and toughness, characterize a material's resistance to bending or deformation under applied loads. These properties are critical for structural components subjected to bending forces, including beams, panels, and bridges. Tensile properties, on the other hand, describe a material's behavior under tensile (pulling) forces, including tensile strength, strain, and modulus of elasticity (Atef, et al., 2021). Tensile properties are fundamental for assessing a material's ability to withstand stretching or elongation without failure and are essential for applications involving tensional loading, such as cables, ropes, and structural members (Hassanli et al., 2017).

The objective of this research project is to comprehensively characterize the flexural and tensile properties of banana fibre and analyze their implications for engineering applications. By conducting experimental testing and analysis, we aim to elucidate the relationship between material microstructure, processing parameters, and mechanical behavior, thereby providing valuable insights for materials selection, design optimization, and advanced materials development.

The scope of this project encompasses experimental testing and analysis of flexural and tensile properties for banana fibre. The testing involve standard procedures for flexural testing (e.g., ASTM D790) and tensile testing (e.g., ASTM D638), conducted using state-of-the-art testing equipment and protocols (ASTM, 2008). The experimental data was analyzed to determine key mechanical parameters, including maximum stress, strain, modulus of elasticity, and energy absorption capacity. Furthermore, the influence of material composition, processing techniques, and geometric factors on flexural and tensile properties was investigated.

The research methodology involves several stages, including specimen preparation, experimental testing, data collection, and analysis. Specimens of banana fibre was prepared according to standardized procedures, ensuring uniformity and reproducibility. Flexural and tensile testing was conducted using appropriate testing fixtures and protocols, with careful consideration given to sample dimensions, loading conditions, and environmental factors. The collected data will be analyzed statistically to identify trends, correlations, and outliers, providing robust insights into the mechanical behavior of the materials under investigation.

This research project aims to advance our understanding of the mechanical behavior of materials through comprehensive characterization of flexural and tensile properties. By analyzing the experimental data and discussing their implications for engineering applications, we aim to contribute valuable insights to the field of materials science and engineering, driving innovation and progress in materials development and structural design (Baciu, et al., 2022).

METHODOLOGY

Specimen Preparation:

Specimens of the material under investigation are prepared according to standardized procedures to ensure uniformity and reproducibility.

The specimens are fabricated to meet the dimensions required for both flexural and tensile testing, taking into account factors such as thickness, width, and length.

Flexural Testing Methodology:

Flexural testing is conducted using a three-point bending setup, following established protocols such as ASTM D790.The specimens are placed on the testing apparatus with appropriate support spans, and a load is applied at the center of the specimen until failure occurs.During testing, data such as load and deflection are continuously recorded to characterize the material's flexural behavior (ASTM 2008).

Tensile Testing Methodology:

Tensile testing is performed using a universal testing machine, in accordance with standardized procedures such as ASTM D638. Specimens are gripped securely at each end of the testing machine, and a tensile force is applied gradually until the specimen ruptures.Load and extension data are recorded throughout the test to determine the material's tensile properties, including tensile strength, modulus of elasticity, and elongation at break (ASTM C496, 2014).

Data Collection and Analysis:

Data collected during flexural and tensile testing include maximum stress, strain, modulus of elasticity, and energy absorption capacity.

Statistical analysis techniques are applied to the collected data to identify trends, correlations, and outliers.Graphical representations such as stress-strain curves and load-deflection curves are generated to visualize the material's mechanical behavior under different loading conditions.

Comparative Analysis:

The flexural and tensile properties of the material are compared and analyzed to understand their relationship and implications for engineering applications.

Factors such as material composition, processing techniques, and specimen geometry are considered in the comparative analysis to elucidate their influence on mechanical behavior.

Quality Control and Assurance:

Throughout the experimental procedures, quality control measures are implemented to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results.Calibration of testing equipment, adherence to standardized testing protocols, and replication of experiments are among the measures taken to maintain quality assurance (ASTM C39, 2012).

RESULTS

Table 1: Flexural Properties of Specimen with Mean Dimensions and 3-Point Support

Fixture type	Support span (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Width (mm)
3-point	100	10	20
Maximum Flexure stress (MPa)	**Load at Maximum Flexure stress (N)**	**Flexure strain at Maximum Flexure stress (%)**	**Extension at Maximum Flexure stress (mm)**
27.78222	-370.42962	2.32001	-3.86669
Flexure stress at Break (Standard) (MPa)	**Load at Break (Standard) (N)**	**Flexure strain at Break (Standard) (mm/mm)**	**Extension at Break (Standard) (mm)**
-2.85234	38.03123	0.0327	-5.44994
Energy at Break (Standard) (J)	Flexure stress at Yield (Zero Slope) (MPa)	Flexure load at Yield (Zero Slope) (N)	Energy at Yield (Zero Slope) (J)
0.60193	27.78222	370.42962	0.65746

Table 2: Flexural Characteristics of Specimen under Different Support Span and Dimensions

Fixture type	Support span (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Width(mm)
	100.00±0.00	10.00±0.00	20.00±0.00
Maximum Flexure stress (MPa)	Load at Maximum Flexure stress (N)	Flexure strain at Maximum Flexure stress (%)	Extension at Maximum Flexure stress (mm)
25.54±0.45	-340.45±5.99	3.35±0.46	-5.58±0.77
Flexure stress at Break (Standard) (MPa)	Load at Break (Standard) (N)	Flexure strain at Break (Standard) (mm/mm)	Extension at Break (Standard) (mm)
-2.10±0.77	28.00±10.22	0.04±0.00	-6.60±0.78

Table 3: Mechanical Properties of Specimen Under Tensile and Flexural Testing

Length (mm)	**Thickness (mm)**	**Width (mm)**	**Diameter (mm)**	**Maximum Tensile stress (MPa)**
48	8	10		21.4469
48.00±0.00	8.00±0.00	10.00±0.00		20.98±0.00
1678.78±474.72	0.03±0.01	1.37±0.41	1.09±0.75	20.43±6.11
Load at Maximum Tensile stress (N)	Tensile strain at Maximum Tensile stress (mm/mm)	Tensile extension at Maximum Tensile stress (mm/mm)	Energy at Maximum Tensile stress (J)	Tensile stress at Break (Standard) (MPa)
1715.75006	0.02327	1.11687	1.04703	21.4469
1634.25±488.40	0.029±0.01	1.38±0.41	1.09±0.75	21.32±8.35
Energy at Break (Standard)	Flexure stress at Yield (Zero Slope)	Flexure load at Yield (Zero Slope)	Energy at Yield (Zero Slope)	
(J)	(MPa)	(N)	(J)	
0.99±0.21	25.53±0.45	340.45±5.98	1.00±0.21	
Modulus (E-modulus) (MPa)	Energy at Yield (Zero Slope) (J)		Modulus (E-modulus) (MPa)	
1021.84372	1.04703	Mean	795.57±114.17	
1102.68±34.40	1.17±1.04			

Table 4: Correlation among the control subjects

Control		Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)
Time (sec)	R	1	-1.000**	.119*	1.000**	-.119*	1.000**	-.119*
	P		0.000	0.031	0.000	0.031	0.000	0.031
Extension (mm)	R	-1.000**	1	-.119*	-1.000**	.119*	-1.000**	.119*
	P	0.000		0.031	0.000	0.031	0.000	0.031
Load (N)	R	.119*	-.119*	1	.119*	-1.000**	.119*	-1.000**
	P	0.031	0.031		0.031	0.000	0.031	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	.119*	1	-.119*	1.000**	-.119*
	P	0.000	0.000	0.031		0.031	0.000	0.031
Flexure load (N)	R	-.119*	.119*	-1.000**	-.119*	1	-.119*	1.000**
	P	0.031	0.031	0.000	0.031		0.031	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	.119*	1.000**	-.119*	1	-.119*
	P	0.000	0.000	0.031	0.000	0.031		0.031
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	-.119*	.119*	-1.000**	-.119*	1.000**	-.119*	1
	P	0.031	0.031	0.000	0.031	0.000	0.031	

Table 5: Specimen raw data with 10% fibre result

		Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)
Time (sec)	R	1	-1.000**	-.257**	1.000**	.257**	1.000**	.257**
	P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Extension (mm)	R	-1.000**	1	.257**	-1.000**	-.257**	-1.000**	-.257**
	P	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Load (N)	R	-.257**	.257**	1	-.257**	-1.000**	-.257**	-1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.257**	1	.257**	1.000**	.257**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure load (N)	R	.257**	-.257**	-1.000**	.257**	1	.257**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.257**	1.000**	.257**	1	.257**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	.257**	-.257**	-1.000**	.257**	1.000**	.257**	1
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Table 6: Specimen raw data with 20% fibre result

		Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)
Time (sec)	R	1	-1.000**	-.336**	1.000**	.336**	1.000**	.336**
	P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Extension (mm)	R	-1.000**	1	.336**	-1.000**	-.336**	-1.000**	-.336**
	P	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Load (N)	R	-.336**	.336**	1	-.336**	-1.000**	-.336**	-1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.336**	1	.336**	1.000**	.336**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure load (N)	R	.336**	-.336**	-1.000**	.336**	1	.336**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.336**	1.000**	.336**	1	.336**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	.336**	-.336**	-1.000**	.336**	1.000**	.336**	1
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Table 7: Specimen raw data with 30% fibre

		Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)
Time (sec)	R	1	-1.000**	-.177**	1.000**	.177**	1.000**	.177**
	P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Extension (mm)	R	-1.000**	1	.177**	-1.000**	-.177**	-1.000**	-.177**
	P	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Load (N)	R	-.177**	.177**	1	-.177**	-1.000**	-.177**	-1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.177**	1	.177**	1.000**	.177**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure load (N)	R	.177**	-.177**	-1.000**	.177**	1	.177**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	-1.000**	-.177**	1.000**	.177**	1	.177**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	.177**	-.177**	-1.000**	.177**	1.000**	.177**	1
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Table8: Control Specimen raw data

		Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)
Time (sec)	R	1	1.000**	.983**	1.000**	.984**	1.000**	.983**
	P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Extension (mm)	R	1.000**	1	.983**	1.000**	.984**	1.000**	.983**
	P	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Load (N)	R	.983**	.983**	1	.983**	1.000**	.983**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	1.000**	.983**	1	.984**	1.000**	.983**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure load (N)	R	.984**	.984**	1.000**	.984**	1	.984**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	1.000**	.983**	1.000**	.984**	1	.983**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	.983**	.983**	1.000**	.983**	1.000**	.983**	1
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Table 9: Specimen raw data with 20% fibre

	Time (sec)	Extension (mm)	Load (N)	Flexure extension (mm)	Flexure load (N)	Flexure strain (mm/mm)	Flexure stress (MPa)

Time (sec)	R	1	1.000**	.984**	1.000**	.985**	1.000**	.984**
	P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Extension (mm)	R	1.000**	1	.984**	1.000**	.985**	1.000**	.984**
	P	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Load (N)	R	.984**	.984**	1	.984**	1.000**	.984**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure extension (mm)	R	1.000**	1.000**	.984**	1	.985**	1.000**	.984**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Flexure load (N)	R	.985**	.985**	1.000**	.985**	1	.985**	1.000**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
Flexure strain (mm/mm)	R	1.000**	1.000**	.984**	1.000**	.985**	1	.984**
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
Flexure stress (MPa)	R	.984**	.984**	1.000**	.984**	1.000**	.984**	1
	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Discussion

Flexural properties are crucial for various structural components in engineering applications, such as beams, panels, and bridges. Understanding how materials respond to bending forces is essential for designing safe and efficient structures (Bohme et al., 1982). The flexural properties reported in Tables 1 and 2 provide valuable insights into the material's behavior under bending loads, which is pertinent for structural engineers and designers (Ahmad et al., 2021).

The variation in support span and specimen dimensions, as observed in Table 2, highlights the importance of geometric factors in determining the flexural performance of materials. Previous research has shown that changes in support span and specimen geometry can significantly affect bending behavior. For instance, increasing the support span generally leads to higher maximum stress and strain due to increased bending moment (Yu et al., 2009). Similarly, variations in thickness and width can alter the material's stiffness and resistance to bending deformation.

The inclusion of tensile testing results in Table 3 offers a comprehensive characterization of the material's mechanical behavior. Tensile properties, such as maximum stress, strain, and modulus, provide complementary information to flexural properties and can be used to assess the material's overall mechanical performance (Mendis, et al., 2017). Comparing flexural and tensile properties allows researchers to evaluate the material's anisotropic behavior and identify potential trade-offs between strength, stiffness, and ductility.

The findings presented in the tables can be instrumental in material selection and design optimization processes. Engineers can use the reported flexural properties to assess the suitability of materials for specific applications, taking into account factors such as load-bearing requirements, structural stability, and durability (Mendis, et al., 2017). For example, materials with high flexural strength and stiffness may be preferred for structural components subjected to bending loads, while those with greater ductility and energy absorption capacity may be more suitable for impact-resistant applications.

The reported flexural properties contribute to the ongoing efforts in advanced materials development, aiming to enhance the performance and sustainability of engineering materials (Keihani, et al., 2019). Researchers can use these data to refine material processing techniques, explore new material compositions, and design innovative structural configurations. By elucidating the relationships between material microstructure, mechanical properties, and processing parameters, advancements in materials science and engineering can lead to the development of lighter, stronger, and more resilient materials for diverse applications.

Conclusion:

In summary, the detailed characterization of flexural properties presented in the tables provides valuable insights into the mechanical behavior of the tested materials. By relating these findings to other relevant work and discussing their implications for engineering applications and materials development, we gain a deeper understanding of how materials respond to bending loads and how these insights can inform design decisions and drive innovation in the field of materials science and engineering.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.ChatGTP 3.5 was used to rewrite the article and to check all the necessary grammatical errors

REFERENCES :

Hurlbert JS, Beggs JJ, Haines VA (2001) Social networks and social capital in extreme environments. In: Lin N, Cook K, Burt RS (eds) Social capital: theory and research. Aldine de Gruyter, New York, pp 209–231.

ASTM C1161-02c(2008)e1, Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Advanced Ceramics at Ambient Temperature, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.

Ahmad, W., Ahmad, A., Ostrowski, K., Aslam, F., &Joyklad, P. (2021). A scientometric review of waste material utilization in concrete for sustainable construction. Case Studies in Construction Materials, 15, e00683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2021.e00683

ASTM C39. (2012). Standard test method for compressive strength of cylindrical concrete specimens. ASTM International.

ASTM C496. (2014). Standard test method for static modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio of concrete in compression. West Conshohocken: ASTM International.

Baciu, A., Kiss, I., Desnica, E., &Sárosi, J. (2022). Reinforcing concrete with recycled plastic wastes. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2212, 012031. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2212/1/012031

Atef, M., Bassioni, G., Azab, N., &Abdellatif, M. (2021). Assessment of cement replacement with fine recycled rubber particles in sustainable cementitious composites. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Materials, 30, 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1515/jmbm-2021-0007

Hassanli, R., Youssf, O., & Mills, J. (2017). The behavior of structural members made with crumbed rubber concrete. In 28th biennial national conference of the concrete institute of Australia (p. 10).

Keihani, R., Bahadori-Jahromi, A., &Clacy, T. J. (2019). Sustainable development of medium strength concrete using polypropylene as aggregate replacement. International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 13(9), 588–599.

Mendis, A., Al-Deen, S., & Ashraf, M. (2017). Effect of rubber particles on the flexural behavior of reinforced crumbed rubber concrete beams. Construction and Building Materials, 154, 644–657.

Bohme W, Kalthoff JF. The Behavior of Notched Bend Specimens in Impact. Testing. International Journal of Fracture 1982;20:R139.

Yu Y, Zhang JX, Zhang JC. A modified Brazilian disk tension test. International. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 2009;46:421.