

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_121473
Title of the Manuscript:	Urethral Mucosal Prolapse in a Pubertal Girl. A Case Report: Surgical Resection and Repair
Type of the Article	Case report

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the rare occurrence of urethral mucosal prolapse in pubertal girls, a condition primarily seen in prepubertal and postmenopausal females. It underscores the importance of considering this diagnosis in cases of unexplained vaginal bleeding in adolescents, thereby broadening the differential diagnosis for clinicians.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive, covering the background, case presentation, discussion, and conclusion. However, a few enhancements can be made to improve its clarity and completeness. Here are some suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inclusion of Diagnosis Confirmation Method: Mention the use of a pediatric nasogastric tube to confirm the diagnosis in the abstract. 2. Highlighting the Importance of the Case: Emphasize the rarity of the condition in pubertal girls and the significance of considering this diagnosis in such cases. 3. Detailed Outcome Information: Provide a brief mention of the patient's recovery and follow-up outcome. <p>Revised Abstract</p> <p>Background: Urethral mucosa prolapse is a rare condition characterized by the circular protrusion of the distal urethral mucosa through the external urethral meatus. It is most commonly observed in prepubertal black girls and postmenopausal white women but can also occur in pubertal and adolescent girls. This case report presents a 12-year-old pubertal girl from Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria, who presented with genital swelling and vaginal bleeding associated with pain. Due to the severity of her symptoms, surgical resection and repair (meatoplasty) were performed.</p> <p>Case Presentation: The patient presented with genital swelling, vaginal bleeding, and pain. A genital examination revealed moderate vaginal bleeding and a dark red, oedematous mass with a central dimple prolapsing through the external urethral meatus. The diagnosis was confirmed by passing a pediatric nasogastric tube through the dimple, draining clear urine. She underwent a urethral meatoplasty and had an uneventful recovery.</p> <p>Discussion: Urethral mucosa prolapse, though rare, should be considered in cases of unexplained vaginal bleeding in pubertal/adolescent girls. Predisposing factors include estrogen deficiency and poor attachment between urethral smooth muscle layers. Surgical resection and repair are recommended for severe cases to ensure definitive treatment.</p> <p>Conclusions: Although urethral mucosa prolapse is rare in pubertal/adolescent girls, it is important for clinicians to consider this diagnosis in cases of unexplained vaginal bleeding. Surgical resection provides a definitive and cost-effective treatment, with excellent outcomes as demonstrated in this case.</p> <p>By making these adjustments, the abstract becomes more informative and aligns well with the structure of a scholarly article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive and well-documented case presentation, which includes detailed patient history, clinical findings, and surgical procedures. The authors provide a thorough discussion on the differential diagnoses and the pathophysiology of urethral mucosal prolapse, supported by relevant literature. The surgical intervention described is meticulously outlined, showcasing a methodical approach to treatment that ensures reproducibility and reliability. Additionally, the follow-up data, indicating no recurrence or complications over three years, reinforces the effectiveness and safety of the surgical procedure, adding to the manuscript's credibility.</p>	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript uses precise medical terminology and presents the information in a clear and structured manner, which is essential for academic writing. However, there are a few minor grammatical and typographical errors that could be corrected to enhance readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harish Daga
Department, University & Country	Parul University, India