

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_120830</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional and Phytochemical Assessment from Various Accessions of Bambara Groundnut (<i>Vigna subterranea</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's important as it reviews the nutritional composition of Bambara Groundnut (BGN), As a feed ingredient, farmers will know the quantity that will be required in the diet of their livestock, Mineral composition is also reviewed which is also an important aspect in the nutrition of animals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Nutritional Quality, Phytochemical and Mineral Assessment from various Accessions of Bambara Groundnut	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's scientifically novel because it was carried out in triplicates for each of the accessions impeding biasness	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tyovenda Doonongon Omega</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>