

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_118434
Title of the Manuscript:	Automation Detection of Change in Ethiopia Gross Domestic Product (GDP) using Novel approach.
Type of the Article	Analytical

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for economic development, reflecting the article's scientific aspect of Ethiopia's gross domestic product by the approach of Automatic detection. But still, the paper lacks in major areas of analysis and interpretation. I request you to look at it make the changes as per the literature and add some more literature to support the study. The researcher is requested to go through more studies to complete this article in a highly recommendable way.</p> <p>The article's title may be reframed using meaningful as per the recent trend.</p> <p>The abstract is found to be comprehensive, but it can be made simpler so that it can be understood by emerging scholars and researchers which can help in their further and future research.</p> <p>There are no such subsections and structure appropriate in the manuscript, it is requested that the researcher add sub-sections and breaks in between the paragraphs to bring clear understanding and also to bring a framework to the research article. This article is incomplete since there is no structure.</p> <p>The article lacks significance as no clear proof of the analysis is attached to the paper. Even though the researcher has used a well-defined tool for the study, there is no exact representation of the results. So, it is suggested to add significant proof of the results and the article to make it meaningful. Support the introduction and results with citation of the literature to make it more scientific.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, it would be much better if the latest APA style referencing is followed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The researcher has to improve the quality of the article for better communication. There is a lack of language in the article, kindly improve it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher has taken a very vital topic that will represent the economy, and reflect the development of the nation, I appreciate the efforts of the author but since there is a lack of structure and language of the article. A minor correction in the article will upgrade the quality of the article.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaleeshwari. S
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India