

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_121372
Title of the Manuscript:	Orofacial pain in patients at the dental clinic of the Faculty of Dentistry University of Health Sciences
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This type of manuscript is necessary for creating a base data that helps future broader researches with more capabilities, and allows formulation of different lines of management regarding the findings in contrast to their importance and priority. Also it may be a useful reference for future researches in that field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I am suggesting the following title "Evaluation of orofacial pain in patients attending the dental clinic of the Faculty of Dentistry University of Health Sciences	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should not exceed 300 words (According to the guidelines of the Asian Journal of Dental Sciences). 2. Rewrite the aim in the objective section to include the prevalence of orofacial pain, the features, and the most common treatment modalities. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is accurate from a scientific and technical standpoint. Writing articles of this nature demands the collection of large amounts of data together with the right handling of the data in terms of tabulation and statistical analysis. One of the positive aspects of the text is that it contains many tables; in addition, the number of references is adequate and appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and acceptable, with	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Attach a copy of the following as appendices (patient consent, VAS form, and the questionnaire). 2. Follow the journal guidelines (General Guideline for Authors (peerreviewcentral.com)) 3. Check your paper with grammar-checking software to correct some minor mistakes. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page 1, 1st paragraph (line 5): change the words body and emotion to somatic and psychological. 2. Page 1, 2nd paragraph (line 2): Explain why women complain more about orofacial pain. Give a pathophysiological explanation. <p>Methodology:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page 2, the first paragraph of the methodology: how the sample size was calculated. The sample size is important in such studies as you are searching for a result that represents the whole community. 2. Page 2, last paragraph: Add the Shapiro-Wilk test to your statistics. It will give you an idea of whether your data is normally distributed. Accordingly, you will choose your statistical tests for comparisons and differences. 3. Page 3: Ethical approval: Add the approval date along with the number. <p>Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. General: reporting p-value should follow the journal's guidelines (Correct it) <p>Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interpretation of your data (what does your data mean?) 2. What are the strengths of your study? 3. Determine the primary and secondary outcomes of your study. 4. Implication of the results and possible mechanisms that the result affects patient care. 5. Do not repeat data or other material given in the Introduction or the Results section in detail. 6. Controversies raised by this study and future research directions. 7. What are your recommendations? 8. I totally disagree with the limitations of the study; whether a dentist or any medical staff does this work, you should be familiar with the subject of your research and capable of handling the subject. Rewrite it. <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please add two references from the Asian Journal of Dental Sciences as support for the journal 2. Please follow the journal instructions for authors regarding the reference styles (no month names in the references) 3. Some references have no year of publication (please correct them) 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Abdalla Mahmood
Department, University & Country	University of Sulaimani, Iraq