

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_121372
Title of the Manuscript:	Orofacial pain in patients at the dental clinic of the Faculty of Dentistry University of Health Sciences
Type of the Article	Original article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights an issue commonly faced by dentist. Diagnosis and management of non-odontogenic pain is a challenge but not much has been addressed about managing it. The manuscript is very general and states known facts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be improved. Incidence and severity of orofacial pain among patients reporting at dental facility UHS or something similar.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Highlighted sentences in abstract need rephrasing to improve language and make them clear and understandable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Examine & data collection is one of the sub-sections. It should be examination and data collection.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Inclusion / exclusion criteria not mentioned. Language needs to be improved. Rephrase highlighted sentences so they make sense. There is lack of continuity at various placed in the manuscript. Kindly go throw it once again to improve. Since it's a manuscript so what has been done should written as "was". Diagnosis was made, treatment plan was formulated. Patient was referred to appropriate unit.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More than half of the references (22 out of 39) are more than six years old.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English language used needs major improvement.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is not novel but highlights an important dental problem faced. It should include some management protocols to enhance interest and make it different from previous researches.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahvish Wahad Khan
Department, University & Country	Avicenna Medical & Dental College, Pakistan