

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_121146
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful Percutaneous Coronary Intervention to a Totally Occluded Anomalous RCA originating from left sinus using Ikari catheter
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has an attractive topic with a decent structure, it is scientifically robust with acceptable discussion. It is appreciable that the authors addressed modifying economic factors in conjunction with lowering the door-to balloon time.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Word "Anomalous" is with incorrect dictation in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The adverb "the" has no place in "Sinus of Valsalva". I think it is needs to correct "%F" into "5F". Full form of PCI needs to be noted in the abstract. The best preposition for PCI is "for" or "of" but not "to". Word "Anomalous" is with incorrect dictation in the keywords.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It made good comparison with other currently used devices. Authors discussed the benefits of Ikari catheter in good and comprehensive manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are good enough in volume and quantity. The references style needs basic revision and should be in standard style of the journal. Please refer the author to see link below: https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs some modifications but totally is acceptable	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is good to note some history about Ikari device. Please see link below: https://ikaricurve.com/en/about/about02.html</p> <p>I think it is good to mention the model and company of the device which the authors used.</p> <p>Please correct below phrases and words:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Remove "the" from "sinus of the Valsalva" in introduction.- Replace "known hypertensive" to "known hypertension" in case presentation.- Replace sentence "0.014 rinato wire was...." To "A 0.014 Rinato wire was...." In case presentation.- Start "Everolimus" with capital E in case presentation.- In discussion, please change "used" to "the use of" in sentence "One great benefit is lower cost because of used a single catheter"	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Ehsan Parhizgar
Department, University & Country	Rajaei Cardiovascular, Medical and Research Centre, Iran