

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_120809
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NEXUS BETWEEN BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS AND CLIMATE CHANGE EXPERIENCES ON HOUSING QUALITIES OF THE RESIDENTS OF AYETORO COMMUNITY, ONDO STATE.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Climate change is a worrying phenomenon that is interesting to study. Buildings can exacerbate the impacts of climate change. Reducing the impact of climate change on buildings needs to be done to reduce environmental damage. Research on the relationship between climate change and buildings still needs to be carried out to create environmentally friendly buildings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article title is too long. Suggestion: CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE QUALITY OF HOUSING IN THE AYETORO COMMUNITY, ONDO STATE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is complete, explaining the urgency of the research, research methods and results concisely.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript writing structure is systematic and complete, containing Introduction, Materials and Method, Results and Discussion, Discussion of the findings, Conclusion and Recommendation, References	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscripts has used scientific methods so that the research process is scientifically correct. The formulation of the hypothesis has gone through theoretical studies and is based on phenomena that occur. The analytical tool is tested using statistical methods that have been recognized as correct. Discussions in other articles have been carried out based on several references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	The references are quite new, but there needs to be additional new references both in the introduction and in the discussion. References must be made no more than the last 5 years. Add a reference to 2024 because there has been a lot of research on climate change and buildings this year.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hermawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sains Al-Qur'an, Indonesia