

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120773
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Factors Affecting Occupational Perception of Agricultural Undergraduates towards Agriculture as a Profession</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Empirical Research</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A very important manuscript. It touches food security which is one of the UN's sustainable development goals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is not comprehensive. He needs to add: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The objectives</li> <li>2. The population (in numbers)</li> <li>3. The variables used</li> <li>4. Theories</li> <li>5. Findings</li> <li>6. Recommendations</li> </ol>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is no satisfactory. I recommend: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction</li> <li>2. A review (both conceptual, theoretical and empirical)</li> <li>3. Methodology</li> <li>4. Result Discussion</li> <li>5. Findings and Recommendation</li> </ol>	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The "Tool of Analyses" used was not mention. But a time series data like this with a simple relationship, requires "SPSS" for analyses for both the correlation and impact. Regression is also preferred to "Chi-square".	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Sufficient for the volume of the work.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The work requires "MAJOR" revision. The English Language is acceptable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The "Findings" of the paper are completely absent.	

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alhassan Haladu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yusuf Maitama Sule University, Nigeria</b>