

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_120800
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Reporting Revolution: How IT Integration Drives Efficiency and Accuracy
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript since it seeks to research current issues in the world today. However the scope is not very clear neither is the methodology. The author does not explain how they arrived at their sample and how the data was collected.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not very specific the problem is not clear and the methodology is also not specific. I expect the author to give a summary of every section in the abstract and save the details for the main document.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate but the numbering is misleading, for instance the subheadings in the results section are all numbered 3.1.1 which one comes before the other?	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is ok and adds new knowledge to the finance world. The author just needs to summarize the key points and make the manuscript as specific as possible so that the reader does not lose the concept while reading through a lot of literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is ok.	
Optional/General comments	Summarize the contents to be more specific and easy for the reader to understand	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Katherine Nelima Wambaya
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya