

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_120800
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Reporting Revolution: How IT Integration Drives Efficiency and Accuracy
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is timely as it brings onboard how IT impact on efficiency and accuracy on the financial reporting. Now that public institutions are moving away from cash accounting into accrual basis it bring bare how it will benefit the institution. IT enables timely reporting. It covers a quite a good number of sectors of the economy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript adopted a suitable methodology covering such as stratified sampling technique which facilitated coverage of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bio 2. Different sectors of the economy 3. Different professional disciplines and 4. Other areas of measurements 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient though some are as old as 31 years old. Ideally references ought to be most recent, seen some for, 1993, 1999, 2002, 2004 and up-to 2014 should be four to six years.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is scholarly.	
Optional/General comments	NONE.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Caleb Bw'auma Manyaga
Department, University & Country	Maasai Mara University, Kenya