

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_120883
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and practices toward female genital schistosomiasis among community women and healthcare workers in Anambra State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an original article that focuses on the prevention of FGS in women. It evaluates the knowledge of this pathology The results show that women are not aware of this issue, which is a sufficient starting point to launch awareness campaigns	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is long, phrases and ideas can be gathered Abbreviations should not be present in the summary	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the manuscript is well structured	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an article that has an impact on public health. The results are sufficient to be a starting point for awareness measures for the general population and to introduce the subject in continuing training for professionals of the health	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not homogeneous in the text; they are often numbers but sometimes it is the names of authors or a URL References at the end are not numbered	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, it's a good language	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	There are lot of tables Multiple columns in tables (numbers and percentages can be combined in the same column) Merge tables that have identical variables in women and health professionals	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guenifi Wahiba
Department, University & Country	University Ferhat Abbas, Algeria