

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_120952</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Multidisciplinary Management of a Rare Gastrocolic Fistula Secondary to Gastric Cancer: A Case Report and Literature Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an important case report as it is pertinent in the setting of Advanced gastric Neoplasm. As Gastro-colic Fistula is a rare occurrence, it is often not a pathology that we keep in mind often and this case report brings this scenario into light. I have personally seen this scenario before(Gastro-colic fistula secondary to advanced gastric CA) and it is a challenging case.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable. However, I would consider taking out the "a rare" part of the title as it is well known to the scientific community that this is a rare condition.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is. It is concise, informative and to the point. It is not too long and it provides sufficient information which intrigues the reader to read the full article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The description of the the patient presentation(including biochemistry) offers a lot of pertinent information. The reported findings are descriptive and contribute knowledge to the scenario at hand. The wording of results and findings are accurate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is good. It is a well written case report and there are no unnecessary words or paragraphs.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	NIL	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jerome Nanack</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>UKZN, South Africa</b>