

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121112
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Physico-chemical and Nutritional Properties of Paras Variety Jamun (<i>Syzygium cumini</i> L.) Leaves
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it presents novel findings that advance our understanding. By offering new insights and data, it helps to fill existing knowledge gaps and provides a foundation for further research. The methodologies and results detailed in this manuscript could also inspire innovative approaches and applications, fostering progress and collaboration within the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	(yes)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Addition of some point on from where they are resourcing the study molecule. Addition of few necessary data about material and method. Avoided too much mathematical data. Addition of photo and graph.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES GOOD	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript's rigorous methodology and thorough analysis make it both technically and scientifically sound. The authors have ensured the dependability of their findings by using well-established experimental methodologies and validating their findings through many independent approaches. The provided data are carefully examined using the proper statistical techniques, and the results are rationally reinforced by the available data. The manuscript also shows a high degree of critical thinking and scientific integrity by including extensive discussions on potential limitations and alternate interpretations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Reference was sufficient and need to addition of numbering of references	
Minor REVISION comments	(yes)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	HERE TAKE A SERIOUS REVISION ON THE THIS TOPIC AND PAPER	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Himanshu Mishra
Department, University & Country	Mahrishi College of Pharmacy, India