

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120753</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determining the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>Important for the scientific community, as this research can serve as a reference in integrated nutrient management on crop growth and yield, especially barley. However, it can also be applied to other crops with different experiments with the application of INM.</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>The title of the article is correct</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<i>The abstract is comprehensive, However, it needs improvement in terms of describing the background (it doesn't need to be too long, it can be a maximum of 1-2 sentences).</i>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<i>Subsections and script structure are appropriate</i>	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>The manuscript is scientifically strong and technically sound, as it is structurally complete. However, it needs some improvement in accordance with the suggestions given.</i>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	<i>The references provided are inadequate and not up-to-date and few. Therefore, I suggest updating the last 5 years and also improving the data interpretation and discussion of the research data.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<i>The English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended that it be proofread by a language institute</i>	
Optional/General comments	<i>The manuscript is good, but needs to be improved according to the suggestions that have been given</i>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amsar Maulana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Andalas, Indonesia</b>