

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_120747 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | First new Record of albino Common Kukri Snake (<i>Oligodon arnensis</i>) (Shaw, 1802) from Uttarakhand, India |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Eventhough albinism is remarkable in snakes, results should have been given in more proper way. The authors have valuable finding but they were seemed careless when it comes to writing a scientific paper. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | No, the shape of the manuscript was fine. | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The scientific name was not written as <i>italic</i> . Except one photo, there is nothing to tell the readers. Therefore, it needs to be discussed much more. The authors need to measure metric and meristic characters of the snake. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | I suggested them in the text. | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English quality of the manuscript was not seemed bad. | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript needs to be revised under serious actions that I pointed out in the text. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Mehmet Kürşat Şahin |
| Department, University & Country | Hacettepe University, Türkiye |