

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120596
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of rice genotypes for yield stability and adaptability across multiple environments using AMMI and GGE Biplot analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the manuscript is important for the reasons: the results are usable, the high-yielding rice genotypes have been identified. It is an article with a scientific contribution, especially I the genetics of cultivated plants.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate: Evaluation of the 23 rice genotypes for yield stability and adaptability across in the different environments I suppose: multiple environment can be the same	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the suggest are one the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It's better add recommendation before conclusion	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title is appropriate, the method AMMI and GGE-Biplots are correctly using for the multiple environment and the many genotypes, the author have respected this method for study interaction genotype-environment, it's a new approach and the references are clear.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	It's a new approach and the references are clear. The reference is not sufficient for discuss	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	nothing	
Optional/General comments	If the author accepted, incorporates my suggestions and comments, that's very important	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moutsavara Gabriel
Department, University & Country	National Advanced School of Engineering of Maroua, Cameroon