

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120581</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMMUNOGENIC PROTEINS CHARACTERIZATION IN THE LARVAE OF OESTRUS OVIS BY WESTERN BLOT ANALYSIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>paper</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>.-The manuscript 2024_JABB_120581", revised, is presented as a proposal that, according to my criteria, presents significant scientific value, aligned with a current trend led by numerous researchers from territorial regions with high rates of communicable diseases. Today, it is no secret that excretion products from macro and micro organisms are a source of antigenic components of great value for the development of therapeutic strategies, such as the creation of vaccines.</p> <p>2.- From my point of view, I would have two considerations for the revised manuscript 2024_JABB_120581", for its publication:</p> <p>1) improvements, if possible, in the images of the gels. Today, we have numerous image analysis techniques (for example, programs such as Image J Fiji, freely accessible and easy to install and manipulate) that, without altering the results, provide them with greater enhancement, with improvements in resolution and even quantitative analyzes in the series of recorded bands. This would give the work greater aesthetic-scientific quality.</p> <p>2) I would try to increase the number of references a little and especially in terms of updating. I think it would be important to do a new review with the possibility of updating the topic.</p> <p>Conclusion. I would accept the manuscript with the suggested corrections; improvement of images and bibliographic updating.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>		
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marco Alvarez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Central De Venezuela, Venezuela</b>