

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120378
Title of the Manuscript:	Humic acid as an organic biosurfactant in amelioration of physical constraints of sandy soils
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for scientific community due to the fact that soil with ideal soil structure and adequate moisture level is considered productive.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not really.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust because the biosurfactant property of humic acid (HA) in the sandy soil was effectively observed to have the highest percentage of water stable aggregates (WSA), water holding capacity (WHC), and porosity, and the lowest value for bulk density (BD), dispersion ratio (DR) and clay dispersion ratio (CDR).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes they are.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		
	The contents of the manuscript is robust and can enhance effectiveness and efficiency for the community	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Shehu
Department, University & Country	School of Science And Technology Education, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria