

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121279
Title of the Manuscript:	Reduces Arsenic Load in Winter Fodder Crops as Influenced by Shallow Tube Well and Pond Water Irrigation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript analyzes the arsenic content in animal feed plants from beans and wheat. Based on the situation and environmental conditions, this is very important to do because arsenic is a type of heavy metal that is very toxic. So for any purpose, it is better to examine the heavy metal content in the sample, it is very much needed to find out the concentration of the heavy metal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is still relevant to the material	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, according to	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is made carefully. Starting with explaining the local conditions, which explains how water plays a role in various needs, especially for the availability of animal feed. Detailed analysis methods and supported by various facilities needed for the analysis. The results obtained are based on strict and very detailed procedures. This is what makes this manuscript presented in truth and very strong scientifically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is recommended that references be used within the last 10 years for journal articles and the last 15 years for references other than journal articles.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, according to	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loth Botahala
Department, University & Country	Tribuana Kalabahi University, Indonesia