

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121207
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the effect of Phosphorus, Molybdenum and Rhizobium Inoculation on Growth, Yield and Yield attributes of Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) under Rainfed Conditions.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The alimentary problem is now one of the most important in the world, especially in the countries of so called "Global South". During the climatic changes so tropical crops as mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) receive an important significance abroad India, particularly, in the countries of Central Asia. Than, the issue of yield potential of this crop is very important. So, the reviewed article is actual.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that the reviewed article is scientifically robust and technically sound because all the conditions and time of research are completely described; all the references are written down; the statistical information is enough full; the investigated crop is native for the author of the article: so, I have no doubts in originality and correctness of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The reviewed manuscript has an important scientific and practical significance. All the contents of the article are correct. The manuscript may be published in the "Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition".	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yurii Kyselov
Department, University & Country	Uman National University of Horticulture, Ukraine