

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJSSPN_121207</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Assessing the effect of Phosphorus, Molybdenum and Rhizobium Inoculation on Growth, Yield and Yield attributes of Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) under Rainfed Conditions.</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>AGRICULTURE</b>  |

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | GOOD RESEARCH WORK, P AND Mo ARE CHEAPEST MATERIALS AND ALSO EASILY AVAILABLE IN MARKETS. RHIZOBIUM ALSO EASILY CULTURED BACTERIA IN LAB. IF WE ARE USING IN OUR AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION, WE CAN PRODUCE MORE YIELD OF PULSES, WHICH IS NEED FOR OUR HEALTH, DIET AND NUTRITION. SO, THIS RESEARCH IS PROVIDING STRONG EVIDENCES AND THIS MANUSCRIPT IS VERY USEFUL TO AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | YES  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | YES  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | YES  |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- et al., should be Italic and should be written et al.,</li> <li>- Author names are in bold which is not required.</li> <li>- Strong evidences are provided and compared with many references, So, this manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound.</li> </ul>   |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | YES  |   |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  |  |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | YES  |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  | -----  |   |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>S. Vimala Gandhi</b>              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Bangalore City College, India</b> |