

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Botany</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIB_121184</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“The Pharmacological Properties of Cola nitida Phytochemicals: Implications for Cancer Therapy Development”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes ,The manuscript focuses on the traditional uses of cola nitida ,as well as provides new insight towards pharmacological advances of its phytochemicals in cancer therapy .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes ( I suggest that “ the pharmacological properties of cola nitida phytochemical : implication for cancer therapy )	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes (but I think this is completely a narrative review methodology and data analysis )	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think should add figures of various study showed that this phytochemicals had anticancer properties and also mention technology used by them in a chart or table form if possible )	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language quality is accurate and relevant to topic ( flavonoids repeated in abstract )	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1) Add Acknowledgement if any 2) Conflict of interest if 3) I m missing data that showed in various study .	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Maya Ramteke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pt Deendayal Upadhyaya University, India</b>