

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120951</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Can Artificial Intelligence Forge the Future of Business Management, E-commerce, and Finance? A Comprehensive Exploration of Transformative Trends After Covid-19</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Reviewed Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>As I read the article, I sense uncertainty or confidence as well as the use of ChatGPT. Therefore, the use of ChatGPT is highly suspected. This is because in the work there is a lot of the use of the word "may", so the authors are not even sure of themselves. The word "may" appeared eleven (11) times. Furthermore, each section has at least one reference citation, which indicate that most of the things written by the authors are not theirs as a result they haven't done any thorough review or research.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Okay</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>The abstract is not enticing and captivating.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>They are not.</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>It is not scientific correct or robust and there is no sound technicality in it.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Somehow yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes but perfect.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Table one should also include the following major areas: Computer vision, cognitive computing, expert systems, planning and scheduling etc.</li> <li>2. The only table was not cited in the body of the work.</li> <li>3. Centralize table one title and then cite the name of the authors in the source.</li> <li>4. Only figure 1 was cited in the body of the work. Figures 2 to 5 were not cited.</li> <li>5. Centralize all the Figures as well as the figure Titles.</li> <li>6. The author did well in their references, however, the word BarclayHedge in the 3<sup>rd</sup> reference citation in the reference section should be two words: Barclay Hedge</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Onwudebelu Ugochukwu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria</b>