

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_120564
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Perception of Radio Programmes on Parental Sexual Abuse on Children: A Study of Residents of Owerri Metropolis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scholarly community should pay attention to this manuscript for a number of reasons. First of all, it tackles a delicate and important topic: parental sexual abuse; which has a significant effect on public health and child welfare. The study highlights potential gaps and opportunities for improvement by concentrating on public perception, which offers insights into how successfully radio programs are impacting awareness and behavior about this topic. Furthermore, using <i>Agenda Setting Theory</i> as a framework helps to contextualize the impact of media in creating public discourse on child abuse and also contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of radio programme impacts. The study's suggestions for improving the scheduling and content of radio programmes are practical and easily implementable. Overall, the manuscript adds valuable insights into the field of child protection through media portals such as radio.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is quite descriptive and provides clear image of the focus of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive, covering the study's purpose, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. However, it is suggested to remove repetitive phrases to make the abstract more concise (such as in the following sentence: <i>'It was recommended that producers of programmes that focus on child abuse should enrich their content and schedule the programme at the appropriate time so as to attract a good number of listeners so as to influence them positively.'</i> The phrase 'so as to' is repeated in a same sentence which affects the readability and it should be avoided to condense the redundant information.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is presentable, appropriate and efficiently ensures that the content is logically organized and easy to read and comprehend.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its well-defined research design and methodology. The use of Agenda Setting Theory provides a solid theoretical framework that underpins the study's investigation into the influence of radio programs on public perception. The sampling technique and data collection methods are appropriately detailed, ensuring that the study's findings are representative and reliable. Furthermore, the data analysis is thorough, using percentage and mean analysis to effectively interpret the survey results, which strengthens the validity of the conclusions drawn. Overall, the manuscript adheres to scientific standards, making its contributions valuable and credible.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient and these references provide a comprehensive background for the study. However, the manuscript would benefit from incorporating a few more recent studies (from the last three to five years) to ensure that the most up-to-date research is conducted which can consequently strengthen the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language use in the article is appropriate but at some grounds, the readability is affected. A suggestion would be to use more clear sentences without the use of pronunciation marks. For example in the following sentences, a few suggestions are given:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The mass media, no doubt, is potent in the spread of innovations and mobilization of the people for a particular course, of nation benefit. (Either use the phrase national benefit or of the nation's benefit) • "The study found that 41.4% of respondents believe radio programs on parental sexual abuse are not properly packaged, not aired at appropriate times, and do not positively influence parents' behaviors." <p>A better and clearer sentence would be: "The study found that 41.4% of respondents believe that radio programs on parental sexual abuse are inadequately packaged, poorly timed, and ineffective in positively influencing parental behaviors."</p> <p>Correct some grammatical mistakes as well such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • However, the respondents was (replace was with were) given one week open window after which the researcher returned to collect the instrument. (Written under Method of Data Collection) • The questionnaire items was in form of likert item and nominal pattern. (The items of the questionnaire were in the form of likert item and nominal pattern). 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article highlights an under-talked issue which adversely affects the mental health and welfare of the children around the globe. In my opinion, the author has outdone him/herself while writing this scholarly significant manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The manuscript appears to adhere to the ethical considerations but it is suggested to make the following additions to enhance the credibility of the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study should mention clearly that an informed consent was received by the participants before data collection. • Mention and emphasize clearly that the data was collected and stored in a manner that the confidentiality of the sample participants was not breached. • It should be explicitly stated whether the study received approval from a relevant ethical review board or committee, especially since it deals with sensitive issues like child abuse. • Since the topic is highly sensitive, detail how the researchers handled the potential psychological impact on participants, providing support resources if necessary. 	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anam Tariq
Department, University & Country	Foundation University Islamabad, Pakistan