

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_120430
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL N-TOTAL, N-PLANT CONTENT, AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN ( <i>Zea mays L. saccharata</i> ) DUE TO THE APPLICATION OF A COMBINATION OF LIQUID AND SINGLE COMPOUND NPK FERTILIZER ON INCEPTISOLS FROM JATINANGOR
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Area under Inceptisols can bring into cultivation if this treatments can improve soil fertility	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of liquid and single compound NPK fertilizer on soil and plant Nitrogen content and yield of sweet corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L. <i>saccharata</i> ) in Inceptisols of Jatinangor	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to rewrite	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Need to rewrite the entire manuscript and work is also very less	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	Better to remove very old references and add very recent references	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Rewrite the manuscript with proper sentence formation	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sahaja Deva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RARS, Tirupati, ANGRAU, India</b>