

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_120430
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL N-TOTAL, N-PLANT CONTENT, AND YIELD OF SWEET CORN (<i>Zea mays L. saccharata</i>) DUE TO THE APPLICATION OF A COMBINATION OF LIQUID AND SINGLE COMPOUND NPK FERTILIZER ON INCEPTISOLS FROM JATINANGOR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the challenge of low fertility in Inceptisols soils by evaluating the effects of liquid compound NPK and single fertilizers on sweet corn yields. It provides valuable insights into optimizing soil fertility and crop yield through specific fertilizer combinations. I appreciate the thorough experimental design and clear presentation of results. However, a more detailed discussion on the environmental impacts of these fertilization practices would enhance the study.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>When I pronounce the manuscript title, is not a fluent manner. Please do some modifications in title without changing the meaning of the manuscript. If done the changes in this manuscript means, it will be easily understood to the readers.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I mentioned my suggestion and deletion in abstract provided as a track comment. Kindly check the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-defined research objectives and systematic experimental design. The use of a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with multiple treatments and replications ensures reliable and reproducible results. Additionally, the thorough data collection and analysis using variance analysis and DMRT test at a 5% significance level, along with the use of SPSS software, demonstrate a high level of scientific rigor. The findings are clearly supported by the data, validating the effectiveness of the NPK fertilizer combinations on Inceptisols soil.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =</p>	<p>References are incomplete. Please add some recent references & add doi for all the references.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Other Comments mentioned in the manuscript (review comment track mode).</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Manibharathi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India