

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120743</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Indigenous Upland Communities: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article might give a perspective on the Cultural impact only in the Tribal community of the Southern Mindanao area due to COVID-19 if the research data is compressive and representative of the population. It will add value to the social science as well as Scientific community if the data related to the community response to the pandemic (In the form of Statistical data) will be provided in the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Cultural Perspectives on the Impact of COVID-19 among Blaan Tribal Community of Southern Mindanao in the Philippines: A Relativist Perceptual Analysis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generalized. The study area and the community from which data has been collected are missing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sentence structure of the introduction part should be improved. The addition of the Study area with a description and Study Area map is required. The detailed methodology of the study conducted with sources of data is required. The detail statistical methodology and statistical result is required for the improvement of research paper.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The addition of a number of documents reviewed and the number of persons interviewed should be added. The time frame from which data collected and number of individuals who participated, the number of Males females and their age group should be added for the impact study. The cultural practices of the community and the impact of COVID-19 should be statistically justified. The qualitative data should be represented separately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The formatting of the reference should be reviewed and alphabetically arranged.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and formatting is good. In some places, formatting should be improved. The author should check for some more convenient research paper. The objective part should be merged with the introduction.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The citation and reference may be rechecked.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sudhir Ranjan Choudhury</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guru Ghasidas University, India</b>